1	
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Criminal Action No. 1:23MJ262
4	Plaintiff, 1:23CR231
5	vs. Durham, North Carolina June 26, 2023
6	MARIAN HUDAK, Defendant.
7	/
8	
9	TRANSCRIPT PREPARED FROM AN AUDIO RECORDING
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION and DETENTION HEARING PROCEEDINGS
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOE L. WEBSTER
12	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE DISTRICT JUDGE
13	APPEARANCES:
14	For the Government: JOANNA G. McFADDEN, AUSA
15	Office of U.S. Attorney 101 S. Edgeworth Street Fourth Floor
16	Greensboro, North Carolina 27402
17	The the Defendant LIGA O COCHNED ADD
18	For the Defendant: LISA S. COSTNER, AFPD Office of Federal Public Defender
19	301 North Elm Street Suite 410
20	Greensboro, North Carolina 27401
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Proceedings reported by stenotype reporter. Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

1	INDEX		
2	GOVERNMENT WITNESS:		
3	Special Agent Emily Franks		
4 5	By Ms. McFadden	4	
5	By Ms. Costner	30	
7	DEFENSE WITNESS:		
8	Ingrid Hudak		
9	By Ms. Costner	68,	82, 88
10	By Ms. McFadden	79	
11			
12	EXHIBIT	S	
13			
14	Government's 1 - 29		65
15			
16			
17			
1819			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>
2	(THE FOLLOWING TRANSCRIPT WAS TAKEN
3	FROM AN AUDIO RECORDING.)
4	MS. McFADDEN: Your Honor, the next matter
5	is United States versus Marian Hudak,
6	1:20MJ262-1. Mr. Hudak is present. He's
7	represented by Ms. Costner. The matter has
8	been calendared for a preliminary examination
9	hearing and a detention hearing.
10	THE COURT: All right. Are you ready to
11	proceed with the detention hearing,
12	Ms. Costner?
13	MS. COSTNER: Yes, Your Honor, we are
14	prepared to proceed with both.
15	THE COURT: Good morning to you. Good
16	morning, Mr. Hudak.
17	THE DEFENDANT: Good morning.
18	THE COURT: All right. You can call your
19	witness.
20	MS. McFADDEN: Thank you, Your Honor. The
21	United States Emily Franks.
22	(SPECIAL AGENT EMILY FRANKS, GOVERNMENT
23	WITNESS, WAS SWORN.)
24	
25	

1 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 BY MS. McFADDEN: 3 Q Good morning, Ma'am. Would you just state your name and spell your last name for the record. Good morning. Yes, my name is Agent Emily 5 Α Franks. 6 F-R-A-N-K-S? 7 And, Ms. Franks, how are you employed? Q 8 I'm an agent with the FBI, based out of Α 9 Charlotte. How long have you been with the FBI? 10 11 I've been with the FBI as an agent since 12 January of 2019, and then with the agency in 13 different positions prior to that. 14 Are you currently assigned to a particular Q 15 squad? I am. Currently I'm assigned to the Civil 16 Α 17 Rights Public Corruption Squad out of Charlotte. 18 Q And so you may have alluded to this in the 19 squad name, but what types of cases do you 20 investigate typically? 21 I primarily investigate civil rights 22 cases. 23 Special Agent Franks, are you familiar Q 24 with the defendant in this case, Mr. Hudak?

UNITED STATES vs. MARIAN HUDAK 1:23CR231 Preliminary Examination & Detention 6/26/23

25

Α

I am.

- A He first came to the attention of the FBI
 back in March of 2020. It came as a tip from the
 Concord Police Department. They had encountered
 Mr. Hudak. In those encounters, he was -- he had
 burned a couple of flags. He made some comments
 about going to Seria, and he had been found with
 multiple weapons, including tac vests, hasp baton,
 and brass knuckles.
- 11 **Q** And did the FBI later receive a tip from a
 12 victim identified by her initials as A.R., regarding
 13 an incident that occurred on October 13th, 2022?
 - A Yes, Ma'am. In October of this year we received a tip to the National Operations Center, which was then routed to our office, from A.R.
- 17 **Q** Did the FBI interview A.R. as a result of 18 that?
- 19 **A** We did.

15

16

- 20 **Q** Did the FBI also interview an individual 21 identified as J.S. related to that tip?
- 22 **A** Yes.
- Q Did the FBI obtain surveillance footage from areas relevant to the incident that was described?

1 **A** We did.

10

writings.

- A On October 13th, at around 3:20, J.S. was driving on Concord Parkway South. In front of him was Mr. Hudak. J.S. recognized his truck as a the truck that he had seen around town before, just because it had a couple of flags on it, including the Confederate flag, as well as stickers and some
- J.S. was initially startled when he saw the truck, but eventually, traffic caused him to get close. When J.S. and Mr. Hudak were driving next to each other, J.S. and Mr. Hudak made eye contact, and Mr. Hudak yelled -- he yelled the N word and, "Come here, boy."
- Q Did J.S. notice anything in the window of Mr. Hudak's truck at the time?
- A He did. He noticed that there was some
 knives jammed into the open driver's side window of
 the car.
- Q And this was on Concord Parkway South, is that correct?
- A Yes, Ma'am.
- 25 **Q** And that's a facility provided and

```
administered by the State of North Carolina, is that
 2
   right?
 3
        Α
              Yes.
             Now after J.S. and Mr. Hudak first
 4
   encountered one another, what did Mr. Hudak do next?
 6
              So J.S. attempted to drive away.
 7
   Mr. Hudak then -- he noticed that Mr. Hudak was
 8
   following him in his rearview. Mr. Hudak then
   pulled around J.S.'s car, impeding his driving
10
   ability, and Mr. Hudak then got out of the car and
11
   banged on J.S.'s window. He pointed his finger and
12
   said, "Come here," N word, and, "Hey, come here."
13
              And did J.S. feel threatened enough to
        Q
   reach for a pistol that he had in his car?
14
15
        Α
              He did.
              Did Mr. Hudak then get back into his
16
        Q
17
   truck?
18
        Α
             He did.
19
              Did he follow J.S. back to his apartment
20
   complex in Concord?
21
        Α
              Yes, he did.
22
              What, if anything, did J.S. do while
   Mr. Hudak was pursuing him in his truck?
23
24
              While Mr. Hudak was pursuing him, J.S.
        Α
25
   called his girlfriend, A.R., on the phone, and told
```

```
her to call 911, the guy with the truck with the
   rebel flags was following him, and also to bring his
 2
 3
   rifle downstairs.
              And was A.R. at their shared residence at
 4
   that time?
 5
 6
        Α
              She was.
 7
              Was she there with her small child?
        Q
 8
        Α
              She was.
 9
        0
              Did she call 911?
10
              She did.
        Α
11
              And then what did she do? Did she leave
        0
12
   the apartment?
13
        Α
              She left the apartment and brought the
14
   rifle out to the parking lot to J.S.
15
              And so when she was out there, what did
16
   she see?
17
        Α
              So she ran out in the parking lot and gave
   him his rifle. At that point, she saw Mr. Hudak
19
   pull into the parking lot, followed by an
20
   unidentified person in a black Cadillac.
21
              And how did Mr. Hudak and the unidentified
   person position their vehicles?
22
23
              They pulled into the apartment parking lot
        Α
24
   and positioned their vehicles to block both the
25
   entrance and the exit of the parking lot.
```

```
1
        Q
              Did surveillance footage from the
 2
   apartment complex capture this event?
 3
        Α
              It did.
                             Your Honor, may I approach?
 4
             MS. McFADDEN:
              THE COURT: You may.
 5
   BY MS. McFADDEN:
 6
 7
              Special Agent Franks, I'm handing you what
        Q
   is marked for identification as Government's
 8
   Exhibits 1 through 29. I've previously provided a
10
   copy of these to Ms. Costner, as well as to the
11
   Court.
12
                   If you could take a look at
13
   Government's Exhibit 1, and let me know if you
14
   recognize that image.
15
        Α
              I do.
              What is featured in Government's Exhibit
16
        Q
17
   1?
18
        Α
              So you see Mr. Hudak's truck parked to the
19
   right. Mr. Hudak is standing in between -- near the
20
   black Cadillac, and then the black Cadillac,
21
   blocking the entrance and exit to the apartment
22
   complex parking lot.
23
              Did both J.S. and A.R. report that they
        Q
24
   saw Mr. Hudak with a handgun?
25
        Α
              They did.
```

```
And did they say that Mr. Hudak said
 1
        Q
 2
   something related to having a gun?
 3
        Α
              He said that he would shoot J.S. and he
   later said that he was going to shoot A.R.
              Did he yell anything else at J.S. and A.R.
 5
 6
   at some time?
 7
              He did. He said, "I will kill you," N
        Α
 8
   word. "I will shoot that black," B word, "I know
   where you live, and I will be back."
10
             And after A.R. gave J.S. his rifle, what
11
   did she do next?
12
              A.R. got back -- got into J.S.'s car and
        Α
13
   drove down the parking lot to get out of the
14
   situation.
15
              Did J.S. yell to Mr. Hudak that he had
16
   called the police?
17
        Α
              He did.
18
        Q
              And did Mr. Hudak leave the parking lot?
              Umm, he did, and he -- he did.
19
        Α
20
              Did he drive along the street running
        Q
21
   parallel to the parking lot in the direction of
22
   where A.R. was at that time?
23
        Α
              Yes.
24
              Could police sirens then be heard?
        Q
25
        Α
              Yes.
```

```
1
              And then did Mr. Hudak finally leave the
        Q
 2
   scene?
 3
        Α
              He did.
              In response to the 911 call, did officers
 4
        Q
   with the Concord Police Department respond to the
 6
   scene also attempt to find Mr. Hudak?
 7
        Α
              Yes.
 8
              Did they conduct a traffic stop of him?
         0
 9
        Α
              They did.
              Did they find any firearms?
10
         Q
11
              They did not.
        Α
12
              Did they perform a thorough search of the
         Q
13
   truck?
14
        Α
              They performed a limited sweep.
15
              Did one of the officers say on camera,
   "There is just so much stuff, I don't know," when
16
17
   asked if he had found anything in the truck?
18
        Α
              Yes.
19
              Now directing your attention to
20
   Government's Exhibit No. 2, Special Agent Franks, is
21
   this a still from a body-worn camera of Mr. Hudak's
22
   truck at an earlier date?
23
        Α
              Yes.
24
              Is this a date from July of 2022?
         Q
25
        Α
              Yes.
```

```
1
        0
              And what are the items that are jammed
 2
   into the driver's side window of the truck?
 3
        Α
              You can see the knives jammed into the
   window of the truck.
 5
              So was Mr. Hudak arrested related to this
 6
   incident with J.S. but released on a promise to
 7
   appear?
 8
              Yes, Ma'am.
        Α
 9
         0
              Now did the FBI also interview an
10
   individual, K.E., a witness who was at the apartment
11
   complex when Mr. Hudak arrived?
12
        Α
              Yes.
13
              Was she in her car at the time he arrived?
14
              She was.
        Α
15
              And did she state that she saw Mr. Hudak
   pointing a small black handgun at J.S.?
16
17
        Α
              She did.
18
         Q
              Did she call 911?
19
              She did.
        Α
20
              Did she tell the dispatcher that
        Q
   Mr. Hudak, "Just followed these black guys out here,
21
22
   and I seen guns and everything?"
23
        Α
              Yes.
24
              Now is K.E. black?
         Q
25
        Α
              She is.
```

```
1
        Q
              Is A.R. black?
 2
              She is.
        Α
 3
        0
              Is J.S. black?
 4
        Α
              Yes.
              And had she encountered Mr. Hudak on prior
 5
 6
   occasions when he drove up to her and yelled and
 7
   pointed at her?
 8
              She did, on two prior occasions.
        Α
 9
        0
              Now through this investigation, did the
   FBI also learn of incidents involving Mr. Hudak and
10
11
   his neighbors, the Duarte family?
12
        Α
              Yes.
              Specifically, what did the FBI learn about
13
   an incident that occurred on November 27, 2021?
14
15
              In regards to that incident, we learned
   that on November 26th, Mr. Duarte parked in the
16
17
   grass outside of his family's home. On
18
   November 27th, he woke up that morning and noticed
19
   that his car had been egged. He assumed that it was
20
   Mr. Hudak, based on where the eggs were on the side
21
   of the car.
22
             MS. COSTNER: It assumes, Your Honor.
23
              THE COURT:
                          I am not going to let her
24
        testify to that, unless you have some direct --
25
             MS. McFADDEN:
                             Your Honor, I'm only
```

1 eliciting this statement to the FBI regarding 2 his belief of the origin. 3 I'll continue. BY MS. McFADDEN: 4 Excuse me, Special Agent Franks, please 5 6 continue as to what happened on the night of 7 November 27th. 8 On the night of the 27th, around eleven, Α Mr. Hudak went -- or, I'm sorry, Mr. Duarte was 10 outside about to give a ride home to his friend. 11 Mr. Hudak came out of the house and began yelling at 12 Mr. Duarte about his headlights waking up his 13 daughter. 14 At that point, they argued back and 15 forth. Mr. Hudak yelled things like, "You F-ing Mexican alcoholics," and told Mr. Duarte to, "go 16 17 back to your country." 18 At that point, they argued back and forth and Mr. Duarte said something like, "if you 19 20 want to do something, let's do it." At that point, 21 Mr. Hudak charged him. Mr. Hudak swung at 22 Mr. Duarte. Mr. Duarte avoided the first two or three swings, and then Mr. Hudak hit Duarte in the 23 24 lip with a closed fist. Mr. Duarte then returned 25 punches and they continued fighting.

```
1
                   Mr. Duarte then retrieved a shotgun
   out of the back of his car, pointed it at Mr. Hudak,
 2
 3
   and then the fight continued. Mr. Hudak charged at
   Mr. Duarte, and ultimately Mr. Duarte told Hudak
   that he needed to leave his yard.
 6
             Now did the Duartes have a
 7
   motion-activated ring security camera at that time?
 8
              They did.
        Α
 9
        0
              And did it capture two five-second clips
   of this encounter?
10
11
        Α
              Yes.
12
              Was this consistent with Mr. Duarte's
        Q
   recollection of events?
13
14
        Α
              They were.
15
              Did Mr. Hudak, after he left, continue to
   say, "Fucking Mexican," and "When I see you again,
16
17
   I'm going to run you off the road and I'm going to
18
   kill you," until he went home?
19
        Α
             Yes.
20
             Did Mr. Duarte's mother eventually take
        Q
21
   out a no-contact order on Mr. Hudak?
22
        Α
              She did.
23
              Did that complaint state that on
24
   August 27th, 2022, Mr. Hudak came towards their dog
25
   with a bat and verbally attacked her 9 and 13 year
```

```
1
   old daughters?
 2
        Α
              Yes.
 3
              Did the complaint state that when
        Q
   Ms. Duarte came outside, Mr. Hudak called her, "A
   fucking Mexican, stupid fat ass bitch," and said
   that she should, "Go back to Mexico."?
 6
 7
              Yes.
        Α
 8
        0
              Did Ms. Duarte contact the police after
 9
   this?
              She did.
10
        Α
11
              Did Mr. Hudak record Ms. Duarte while she
12
   was waiting for the bus and did he say, "There she
13
   is, that stupid fat ass Mexican bitch."?
14
        Α
              Yes.
15
              Was Mr. Duarte so concerned for his mother
16
   and his sisters' safety that he actually moved back
17
   home?
18
        Α
             He did.
19
              Now related to these incidents, did the
   FBI also uncover additional evidence of Mr. Hudak's
20
21
   racial bias?
22
        Α
              We did.
23
              Did they learn that on July 21, 2022,
        Q
   multiple people called the Concord PD to report that
24
25
   he was broadcasting racial slurs over a loud speaker
```

```
1
   at a Sam's Club parking lot?
 2
        Α
              Yes.
 3
        Q
              When police arrived, was he yelling, "Fuck
   the black people," over a loud speaker?
 5
        Α
              Yes.
 6
        Q
              Did the FBI, in the course of
 7
   investigating this case, also speak with a Concord
 8
   police officer who actually lived in Mr. Hudak's
 9
   neighborhood?
10
        Α
              Yes.
11
              Did he once witness Mr. Hudak yelling and
12
   cursing at his neighbor, who the officer identified
13
   as Hispanic?
14
        Α
              Yes.
15
              Did he recall Mr. Hudak yelling things
16
   like, "Go back, you fucking Mexican, we don't want
17
   you dirty people."?
18
        Α
              Yes.
19
              And did the officer recall that this
        0
20
   Hispanic neighbor's children were actually outside
21
   waiting for the school bus at the time that has
22
   happened?
23
        Α
              Yes.
24
              Are you familiar with an interaction that
        Q
25
   Mr. Hudak had with the Concord Police Department on
```

```
July 2nd, 2022?
 1
 2
        Α
              I am.
 3
              Was he arrested for injury to property on
   that date?
 4
 5
        Α
              Yes.
 6
        Q
              And did at that time he tell the arresting
 7
   officer, "Black people or Mexican people, oh, my
 8
   God, you know, some of them they are good, they
   friendly, but some of them hate you guys. They hate
10
   the thin blue line. They hate everything."?
11
        Α
              Yes.
12
              And did he admit to calling someone, "you
        Q
   fucking," N word and explain, "I hate using that
13
   word because it is not good, but what can I do."?
14
15
        Α
              Yes.
              And was Mr. Hudak placed on probation in
16
        Q
17
   November of 2022 for spitting in a man's face and
18
   kicking his car door?
19
        Α
              Yes.
20
              Related to that probationary term, did a
        Q
21
   state probation officer visit Mr. Hudak's home on
22
   December 16th, 2022?
23
        Α
              Yes.
24
              Directing your attention to Government's
        Q
25
   Exhibit No. 3, do recognize these images?
```

1 Α Yes. 2 Are these items that the probation Q 3 officer, the state probation officer noted in Mr. Hudak's home in that home visit? 5 Yes. 6 Q What is the flag on the far left corner? 7 From the far left corner we have a flag Α 8 that has the swastika flag or Nazi flag. 9 Q What is the flag in the far right corner? The far right corner is a KKK flag with a 10 Α blood drop cross, or iron cross on it. 11 12 And then what is the object in the bottom Q 13 picture there? It is a ring, like a skull shape with an 14 Α 15 iron cross. Do you have any other understanding based 16 Q on your work in the civil rights unit, of the 17 significance, if any, of the emblem? 19 I do. I understand that is an iron cross, Α 20 which was the German military symbol or medal back 21 in World War I and World War II. I know now that it 22 typically is associated with white supremacist beliefs. 2.3 24 And since the incident with Mr. Hudak and Q 25 J.S., has he since posted racially inflammatory

```
1
   things on social media?
 2
              He has.
        Α
 3
              Turning your attention to Government's
        Q
   Exhibit No. 4, is this a posting that Mr. Hudak made
   to his publicly available Facebook account on
 6
   January 9th, 2023?
 7
              Yes.
        Α
 8
              What are the comments underneath the
        0
 9
   photograph?
              "Idiots from Mexico in my neighborhood,"
10
        Α
   and then, "illegal immigrants."
11
12
              And what account is associated with those
        Q
13
   comments?
14
             Marian Hudak.
        Α
15
              Turning to Government's Exhibit No. 5, do
16
   you recognize this as a screen-shot of a posting
   taken from Mr. Hudak's Facebook account?
17
18
        Α
              I do.
19
              What are the words that are written there?
20
        Α
              It says, "Mexican idiot asking for trouble
21
   every single day."
22
              Is the lawn picture here the same one that
        Q
   is pictured in Government's Exhibit 4?
23
24
        Α
              It is.
25
              Showing you now Government's Exhibit No.
```

```
6, is this a posting made to Mr. Hudak's Facebook
   account on January 10th, 2023?
 2
 3
        Α
             Yes.
 4
             What is the comment underneath the images
        Q
   there?
 5
 6
             It has, "Mexican cartel and domestic
 7
   terrorist organizations in Concord, North Carolina.
 8
   Hate American flag and American people. Shame on
   you, pay dirty money for walking free."
10
             And what account made that comment?
             Marian Hudak.
11
        Α
12
             Turning your attention to Government's
        Q
13
   Exhibit No. 7, is this a posting made to Mr. Hudak's
   Facebook account on January 25th, 2023?
14
15
        Α
             It is.
16
             And what are the comments that are
17
   underneath the image here?
18
              It says, "I remember this because Mexican
        Α
19
   cartel and weed dealers in my neighborhood lied
20
   about me in court. We deal with the Mexican cartel,
21
   hate America and make dirty money on drugs and
22
   illegal immigrants." And then the next comment
23
   says, "Kiss my American ass, Mexican cartel and drug
24
   dealers." The next comment says, "I remember those
   days."
25
```

1 0 What account is associated with those 2 comments? 3 Α Marian Hudak. Turning your attention to Government's 4 Q Exhibit No. 8, is this a posting made to Mr. Hudak's 6 Facebook account on February 10, 2023? 7 Α Yes. Is this a screen-shot of the video taken 8 0 of the same residence that was featured in the post in Government's Exhibits 4 and 5? 10 11 Α It is. 12 Is that the Duarte's residence? Q 13 Α It is. 14 Is there now a fence between the Q 15 properties where there was not one before? 16 Α There is. 17 0 Do you know who built that fence? 18 Α The Duartes. 19 And what is the comment underneath the 0 20 image there? 21 It says, "And she put a no contact against me and always continues to cause problems that 22 23 harass my family and I." 24 And is it your understanding, that Q 25 Ms. Duarte had in fact taken out a new contact order

```
on Mr. Hudak on or around September 2022?
 1
 2
              She did.
        Α
 3
              Turning your attention now to Government's
   Exhibit No. 9, is this a screen-shot of a posting
   made to Mr. Hudak's Facebook account also on
   February 10th, 2023?
 6
 7
              Yes.
        Α
 8
              And is it again an image of the Duarte's
        0
 9
   residence?
10
        Α
              It is.
              Is there a comment underneath the image?
11
        Q
12
                    It says, "She is a Mexican."
        Α
              Yes.
              And which account made that comment?
13
        Q
             Marian Hudak.
14
        Α
15
              Turning your attention now to Government's
16
   Exhibit No. 10, is this a posting made to
17
   Mr. Hudak's Facebook account on May 23rd, 2023?
18
        Α
              It is.
19
              What is written on this image of the wolf?
20
              It says, "Welcome to my house.
        Α
                                               That door
21
   you just kicked in was locked for your protection
22
   and not mine."
23
              Do you have any -- excuse me, are you
        Q
24
   familiar with lone wolf imagery through your work
25
   with the FBI?
```

```
1
                     I'm familiar with the lone wolf
   imagery, which to us typically means somebody who
 2
 3
   acts alone, is not affiliated with any groups, but
   is motivated by some sort of grievance or ideology
   to motivate towards violence.
 6
             And now turning your attention to
   Government's Exhibit No. 11, is this a posting made
 7
 8
   to Mr. Hudak's Facebook account on May 23rd, 2023,
   as well?
10
        Α
              It is.
11
              And what are the words that are written on
12
   the image of the wolf?
13
        Α
              "I have a love I would die to protect, but
   I won't go down without a fight.
14
                                     Don't
15
   (indiscernible) with that love unless you are
16
   prepared to meet your ends."
17
        0
             Now drawing your attention to June 22nd,
18
   2023, did you participate, along with other law
19
   enforcement, in a search of the Mr. Hudak's
20
   residence in Concord?
21
        Α
              I did.
22
              Did you understand three people to live at
   the residence at the time?
23
24
              Yes.
        Α
```

Was that Mr. Hudak, his 21-year-old child

25

```
and his six-year-old child?
 1
 2
        Α
              Yes.
              Were photographs taken of certain items in
 3
   the residence and in Mr. Hudak's two vehicles, one
   of which was parked at the residence and another
 6
   which was parked at the state probation office?
 7
              Yes.
        Α
 8
              I'm directing your attention now to
   Government's Exhibit No. 12. What is featured in
10
   these images?
11
              In the top image, we have a U.S. Secret
12
   Service badge that says, "special agent."
13
   bottom image we have a collection of ammunition.
              Were these items recovered from
14
        Q
15
   Mr. Hudak's home?
16
        Α
              They were.
17
              Is Mr. Hudak a special agent with the
   United States Secret Service?
19
        Α
              He is not to my knowledge.
20
        Q
             Moving on to Government's Exhibit 13, what
21
   is featured here?
22
              The ammunition that was in the home.
        Α
23
              Moving on to Government's Exhibit No. 14,
24
   what is featured in this image?
25
        Α
              We have a motion and order to show cause
```

```
for failure to comply with a no contact order for
   stalking or nonconsensual sexual conduct with the
 3
   name of Abby Duarte.
              Is this a photograph of the hard copy that
 4
   was located within Mr. Hudak's residence?
 5
 6
        Α
             Yes.
 7
             And at the bottom in the far right-hand
 8
   corner, what does it say for the date issued?
 9
        Α
              It says 3/6/23.
10
             Moving on to Government's Exhibit No. 15,
   what is featured in this image?
11
12
        Α
             We have a consent order, no contact order
   for stalking or nonconsensual sexual conduct.
14
        Q
             And again, was Ms. Duarte the complainant
15
   in this procedure?
16
        Α
              Yes.
17
             And is this a photograph of the hard copy
   of this order that was located in Mr. Hudak's
19
   residence?
20
        Α
             Yes.
21
             And this is a contempt order, is that
22
   correct?
23
        Α
             Yes.
24
             Moving on to Government's Exhibit No. 16,
25
   what is featured in this photograph?
```

```
1
              Here we have the flag with the swastika
 2
   and the iron cross.
 3
        0
              And was this also located in Mr. Hudak's
   residence?
 4
 5
        Α
              Yes.
 6
        Q
              Moving to Government's Exhibit No. 17,
 7
   what is pictured in this photograph?
              We have a box which contains tactical
 8
        Α
   gear, including two ballistic vests, one with the
   placard saying, "Police."
10
11
              And now moving on to Government's Exhibit
12
   18, what is featured in this photograph?
13
        Α
              Here we have just part of the collection
   of knives that were -- that was located in the home.
14
15
              Moving on to Government's Exhibit 19, what
16
   is featured in this photograph?
17
              Some additional, you know, bladed weapons,
        Α
   as well as two law enforcement badges.
19
        0
              Moving on to Government's Exhibit No. 20,
   what is depicted here?
20
21
        Α
              This appears to be a radar gun.
22
              And where was this located?
        Q
23
              This was located in Mr. Hudak's bedroom.
        Α
24
              And moving on to Government's Exhibit No.
        Q
25
   21, what's featured in this photograph?
```

1 Here we have some edged weapons as well as 2 what looks like a Taser, and then like a sword. 3 0 And where were these items located? I believe in a closet, but I am -- I 4 cannot recall the exact location. 5 6 Q But they were located in this residence? 7 In the house, yes. Moving on to Government's Exhibit No. 22, 8 0 what is featured in this image? Here we have in the back of the drawer the 10 Α Nazi patch, Velcro patch with a swastika on it. 11 12 And now Government's Exhibit No. 23, what Q is this an image of? This image is of, like, a book or pamphlet 14 Α 15 that was located in Mr. Hudak's house, which depicts racial slurs. 16 17 Moving on to Government's Exhibit No. 24, what is featured in this image? 19 This is the same book that also features Α racial slurs. 20 21 Moving on to Government's Exhibit No. 25, 22 what is featured here? 23 Here we have some items that were located Α in Mr. Hudak's truck that was parked in front of the 24 25 probation office.

1 Q And are these various weapons? 2 Α Yes. 3 Looking now at Government's Exhibit No. Q 4 26, what is featured here? This appears to be a little stun gun that 5 6 was located in the Honda parked at the residence. 7 Q And when you say, "this appears to be," is that based on your training and experience with 8 weapons in your training as an FBI agent? 10 Α Yes. Moving on to Government's Exhibit No. 27, 11 Q 12 what is the object immediately to the left of the pill bottle? 13 It is a wire-pull smoke grenade. 14 Α 15 And where was this located? 16 Α In the truck at the probation office. 17 And now moving on to Government's Exhibit 0 No. 28, what is featured in this image? 19 Α Ammunition. And where was this located? 20 Q 21 The truck at the probation office. Α 22 And finally, Government's Exhibit 29, what is this object here, that is the black object with 23 24 the yellow on it? 25 Α It is a weapon-mounted light.

1	
1	$oldsymbol{Q}$ And so can this be mounted to a pistol?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Can it be mounted to a rifle?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Where was this located?
6	A In the truck.
7	MS. McFADDEN: Your Honor, I have no
8	further questions for the witness.
9	THE COURT: All right.
10	MS. COSTNER: Thank you, Your Honor. If I
11	may have just a minute to get this back
12	together.
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION
14	BY MS. COSTNER:
15	${f Q}$ Agent Franks, I may have missed it. When
16	did you become involved yourself with the case?
17	A In October of 2022.
18	${f Q}$ The affidavit, you testified in large part
19	from the affidavit that was filed along with the
20	complaint; is that correct?
21	A Yes, Ma'am.
22	${f Q}$ And that was not signed by you, that was a
23	different agent.
24	A Correct.
25	${f Q}$ Have you, yourself, participated in the

```
1
   investigation of this case?
 2
              I have.
        Α
 3
              And you testified that the FBI first
   became aware of Mr. Hudak in March of 2020, there
   was a tip from the Concord Police.
 6
        Α
              Yes, Ma'am.
 7
              And I may have misunderstood that.
   was based on Mr. Hudak saying that -- saying some
   things among them that he wanted to or was going to
10
   go to Seria?
11
        Α
              Yes, Ma'am.
12
              Was he charged with any crime as a result
        Q
13
   of that?
14
        Α
              He was not.
15
              And do you know where they say those
16
   comments took place?
17
        Α
              Like the location where they took place?
18
        Q
              Yes.
19
              I don't know.
        Α
20
              So you don't know that that was his yard
         Q
21
   or just where it was?
22
        Α
              I'm not aware.
23
              But bottom line is, there was no crime
24
   identified, he was not charged with anything.
25
        Α
              That's correct. However, they were
```

```
alarmed enough that they reported the comments to
 2
   the FBI.
 3
        Q
              And do you have any evidence that he in
   fact made plans to go to Seria?
 5
              I don't.
 6
              And do you have any information as to
 7
   why -- or I'm going to strike that.
 8
                   Moving on to October 13th, this
   altercation between Mr. Hudak and J.S.
10
                   Now, A.R. was -- was A.R. in the
   vehicle during all of this time?
11
12
        Α
             No, Ma'am.
13
              And so J.S. and Mr. Hudak were driving
14
   down the Concord Parkway?
15
        Α
              Yes.
              Okay. And I believe you testified that
16
        Q
17
   J.S. saw or noticed the truck.
18
        Α
              Yes.
19
              And pulled beside the truck and looked at
20
   it, correct?
21
              That's not my understanding. It is that
22
   traffic forced them to get close.
23
              Okay. But then he looked at the truck?
        Q
24
        Α
              Correct, yes.
25
        Q
              And noticed how it -- what it looked like.
```

```
And so -- did the first, I guess contact, that was
 2
   more up close and personal between Mr. Hudak and
 3
   J.S. Did that take place as they were driving or
   did they stop? Was there a stopping point?
 5
             It is my understanding that the first
   contact took place as they were driving when they
 6
 7
   made eye contact, and then ultimately they stopped
   at the intersection and --
 9
        0
             And that's when J.S. pointed his pistol at
   Mr. Hudak?
10
11
              I wasn't aware -- I'm not aware of that.
        Α
12
             Well, your testimony, and I wrote it down
        Q
13
   was, that J.S. pointed a pistol at Mr. Hudak.
14
        Α
             I didn't say that.
15
             So --
        Q
16
        Α
              I said that J.S. was startled enough that
17
   he reached for the pistol that he had in his car.
18
        Q
             And my recollection and my notes at least
19
   say that J.S. pointed a pistol at Mr. Hudak, and
20
   then Mr. Hudak walked away and got back in his car.
21
   That's not your understanding of the evidence?
22
             That's not my recollection.
        Α
23
             All right. May I have a moment, Your
        Q
24
   Honor? I just have to review the affidavit. Sorry.
25
                   I may have written the notes down
```

```
wrong, but looking at the affidavit, they stopped at
 2
   a stoplight, is that correct, or a stop sign?
 3
        Α
              Yes, Ma'am.
              And J.S. reached for his pistol?
 4
                    That's my understanding.
 5
        Α
 6
              And so now once they got to the apartment
 7
   complex where J.S. lived, that's when J.S. contacted
 8
   A.R. and asked for her to bring him his rifle?
 9
        Α
              I believe it was en route from the
10
   incident to the apartment complex.
11
              And that was an assault rifle, correct?
        Q
12
        Α
              Correct.
13
              Did the police seize or see the assault
14
   rifle when they responded?
15
              I know that they are aware of it.
16
   know if they seized it.
17
              Did they see or seize the pistol that J.S.
   had in his vehicle as he was driving along?
19
        Α
              Not to my knowledge.
              And I believe it is your testimony, that
20
        Q
21
   Mr. Hudak's truck, once he was encountered by the
22
   police, was searched?
23
        Α
              Yes, Ma'am.
24
              And no pistol was found?
        Q
25
        Α
              No.
```

- 1 0 And he was taken downtown, or I guess to 2 the station and charged, correct? 3 Α At that time, I'm not sure. I don't believe he was taken down at that time. I think the police came back later that evening and ultimately 6 arrested him. 7 Q Okay. And did they come with a search warrant for his vehicle? 8 9 Α They did not. 10 And you testified that their search was limited, is that correct? 11 12 Α Correct. So let me make sure I understand this. 13 14 The police knew from J.S. and A.R., that they had 15 reported that Mr. Hudak had a pistol, correct? 16 Α Yes. 17 And K.E., a witness, also reported seeing a pistol in the hands of Mr. Hudak, but the police 19 conducted a search of the vehicle, did not find a 20 pistol, correct.
- 21 **A** They performed a limited sweep.

1 Α Correct. 2 It is true, isn't it, that when A.R. Q 3 contacted the police, A.R. reported this altercation, but at no time reported seeing -- when she made that call, that Mr. Hudak had a firearm, 6 correct? 7 Α I can't recall that. 8 Okay. May I have a moment? Q 9 So look at the affidavit that was 10 signed by one of your -- that you're testifying from 11 and that was signed by a fellow FBI agent. 12 in a footnote that, "J.S. called 911 during the 13 encounter, but did not contemporaneously report seeing a gun in Hudak's hand." Would that be a fair 14 15 recitation of what happened? 16 Α Yes. 17 And so it was only later that there was this report of the firearm, correct? 19 I mean, not too much later. Α 20 Certainly no one was calling 911 and Q 21 saying there is a man with a gun who is threatening 22 us? 23 I would need to check my notes. Α 24 Well, again, if the footnote says, "J.S. Q 25 called 911" --

1 MS. McFADDEN: Objection, asked and 2 answered. 3 MS. COSTNER: Well, Your Honor, she's 4 changing her answer. THE COURT: Overruled. 5 6 MS. COSTNER: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 BY MS. COSTNER: 8 The footnote says, "J.S. called 911 during 0 9 the encounter, but did not contemporaneously report 10 seeing a gun in Hudak's hand." Would it be fair to say that that was not reported to anyone by J.S.? 11 12 By J.S. Α 13 Okay. And did you bring any evidence or any recording that shows that A.R. reported in a 911 14 15 call to police, that Mr. Hudak had a firearm? 16 Α I don't have a recording of that call. 17 Do you have anything in your notes that indicates that that was said to police as part of a 911 call? 19 20 Only prior interviews that we've done, but Α 21 I would need to refer back to my notes. 22 Prior interviews with the 911 operator? Q 23 No, with A.R. or J.S. Α 24 Well, aren't those calls recorded? Q 25 Α They are, but I believe in this case, the

```
call from A.R. was not recorded, but I would need to
 2
   refer back to my notes.
 3
              So is it not standard practice that 911
   calls are recorded?
 4
              I can't speak for Concord Communications.
 5
 6
              So all of the 911 calls, this particular
        Q
 7
   one was not recorded, is that your testimony?
 8
              MS. McFADDEN: Objection.
 9
              MS. COSTNER: I'll move on, Your Honor.
10
              THE COURT: All right.
11
   BY MS. COSTNER:
12
              Now you looked at Exhibit 2 -- let's go
        Q
   back to Exhibit 1 for just a minute.
14
                   This black Cadillac, who was the
15
   driver of the black Cadillac?
16
        Α
              Unknown at this time.
17
              So no one ever stopped or found the driver
   of the black Cadillac?
19
        Α
              No, Ma'am.
20
              And so I take it that that black Cadillac
        Q
21
   was never searched?
22
        Α
              Correct.
23
              No record of anyone in that black Cadillac
24
   with a firearm?
25
        Α
              Not to my knowledge, no.
```

39

```
1
              In regard to Exhibit 2, the truck with the
        Q
 2
   knives, was that photo taken the day that this
 3
   altercation happened between Mr. Hudak and J.S.?
        Α
              No.
 4
              This was a different day?
 5
        0
 6
        Α
              Yes.
 7
              There was no report that Mr. Hudak, on the
        Q
 8
   day he had the altercation with J.S., jumped out
   with a knife and threatened anybody with a knife,
10
   correct?
11
        Α
              There was not.
12
              Now I'm going to move on to the incident
        Q
13
   on -- was it November 27th, 2021?
              Yes, Ma'am.
14
        Α
15
              Okay. And that was the fight, it sounds
16
   like a fistfight between Mr. Hudak and Mr. Duarte?
17
        Α
              Correct.
18
              When Mr. Hudak came out, yelled at him
19
   because there were headlights shining and he
20
   contended it woke his daughter up.
21
              Uh-huh. That's right.
        Α
22
              So I believe it was your testimony that
23
   Mr. Duarte said, you know, "Come on, let's do
24
   something about it," so he instigated the fight, the
25
   physical fight, correct?
```

```
1
        Α
              There was an argumentation before and I
 2
   believe --
 3
        Q
              So they were fighting and then it was
   Mr. Duarte that went and got a shotgun, correct?
 5
        Α
              Correct.
 6
              And Mr. Hudak, on that occasion, did not
 7
   have a shotgun, did he?
 8
        Α
              No.
 9
        Q
              Now with respect to -- you testified about
10
   the -- I'm going to move on to this incident that
   happened at Sam's.
11
12
        Α
              Okay.
13
              Mr. Hudak allegedly was saying racial
   slurs over a loud speaker. Was Mr. Hudak charged
14
15
   with possessing or found in possession of any guns
   or weapons on that occasion?
16
17
        Α
              No.
18
        Q
              Was he asked or told to leave Sam's?
19
        Α
              Yes.
20
              And he left Sam's when he was told to
        Q
21
   leave?
22
        Α
              Correct.
23
              You testified that neighbors have
        Q
24
   witnessed Mr. Hudak yelling things, insulting
25
   things, according to them. Was he waving guns or
```

```
1
   threatening them with weapons on that occasion?
 2
        Α
             He was not.
 3
             And when there was an arrest, I believe
        Q
   you said on July 2nd, 2022, injury to property, did
   he shoot a gun at someone?
 6
        Α
             No.
 7
              And he was put on probation, I believe you
        Q
   said, for spitting in somebody's face, hitting a
 8
   car. That didn't involve the Duartes, did it?
10
        Α
             No.
              It didn't involve J.S. or A.R., did it?
11
        0
12
        Α
             No.
13
              With respect to the exhibits that
   display -- or, let's just go on to three, since
14
15
   that's the next one in line.
                   It shows a swastika and the KKK and
16
17
   this iron cross ring. You said those were found in
   his residence?
19
                    The probation officer found them,
        Α
   and I'm not aware of where in the residence they
20
21
   were located.
22
              Okay. And so do you know whether or not
   Mr. Hudak is a collector of these things?
23
24
              I am not aware.
        Α
25
              And there is nothing inherently illegal
```

```
1
   about owning such things, isn't that correct?
 2
        Α
              Correct.
 3
              Unless you stole them from somebody, but
   if you bought them legitimately, you are allowed to
   have them, correct?
 6
        Α
              Correct.
 7
              Any evidence that he took that iron cross
   skull ring and was hitting people with it or using
 8
   it as a brass knuckle?
10
              No. It was just found.
        Α
              It was just found in his home, correct?
11
        Q
12
        Α
              Correct.
13
              All right. With respect to Exhibits 4
        Q
   through 10, I believe these are the -- those were
14
15
   all Facebook posts?
16
        Α
              Yes.
17
              All right. Are the Duartes' Facebook
        0
18
   friends of Mr. Hudak's, do you know?
19
        Α
              I don't know.
20
              You never looked to see?
        Q
21
        Α
              No, Ma'am.
22
              And what about J.S. and A.R., do you know
        Q
   if they are Facebook friends of Mr. Hudak?
23
24
        Α
              I don't know.
25
              Did you look to see who his Facebook
```

1 friends are? 2 I personally didn't, but I know at some Α 3 point it was done, most likely. You don't have --4 Q I don't have that information. 5 6 Okay. And so the Facebook posts that he 7 made, do you know whether he was instant messaging 8 these to the Duartes or to J.S. or to A.R.? 9 Α I don't. And in these Facebook posts, let's look at 10 number four. He says, "Idiots from Mexico in my 11 12 neighborhood, illegal immigrants." He doesn't 13 threaten to harm them, to go over there to do anything to them, does he? 14 15 Α He doesn't. Same with Exhibit No. 5 -- I'm sorry, that 16 17 was -- Exhibit 5, "Mexican idiot asking for trouble 18 every single day." He doesn't threaten them. There is no threats of violence or a gun or anything like 19 20 that, correct? 21 Α Correct. 22 I mean, clearly he doesn't like them, that 23 would be a fair thing, correct? 24 (No verbal response heard.) Α 25 There is nothing inherent or illegal about

```
expressing your dislike of people, you have a First
   Amendment right to do that, isn't that true?
 2
 3
        Α
             That's true. But based on the previous
   altercation and the continued threats, this family
   is certainly intimidated by Mr. Hudak's actions and
 6
   posts.
 7
             Well, if Mr. Hudak is not Facebook friends
 8
   with any of these folks, how are they being -- I
   mean, they are not -- he's not really communicating
10
   a threat to them, is he, if that's the case?
11
             I mean, it is public Facebook.
12
             And there is nothing in these particular
        Q
13
   posts where there is a specific threat against
14
   anybody, isn't that correct?
15
        Α
             That's correct.
16
             Now you testified that wolves mean
17
   that -- I don't know, that somehow that is some
18
   symbol of being independent and also being -- tell
19
   me again what it means if you like wolves.
20
             MS. McFADDEN: Objection.
21
             THE COURT: She is asking, I think, from
22
        cross-examination. You asked what wolves mean.
23
        Objection is overruled.
24
             THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I didn't.
25
```

BY MS. COSTNER:

1

2

3

6

7

8

10

- Q I wasn't quite sure I understood. So in your opinion, or in your training, posting pictures of wolves means that there is some -- that you're part of some hate group, basically?
- A No. A lone wolf, you know, identifying as a lone wolf is concerning because it seems to be an actor that is motivated by some sort of idealogy or animus, not affiliated with any groups that could, you know, move to violence quickly.
- 11 **Q** Okay. Well, let's look at Exhibit 10. It shows a wolf and it says, "Welcome to our house.

 13 That door you just kicked in was locked for your protection, not mine." What group does that show animus against?
- 16 **A** No particular group.
- 17 **Q** It could be he just likes wolves?
- 18 A Could be.
- Q Correct. And when you look at -- let's
 look at Exhibit 11. "I have a love I've got to
 protect, but I won't go down without a fight. Don't
 threaten what I love unless you are prepared to meet
 your end." What group does that show animus
- 24 against?

25

A No particular group.

```
1
              It might show animus against somebody that
        Q
 2
   threatens somebody, right?
 3
        Α
              Correct.
 4
              But there is no Hispanic -- no threat, no
        Q
   other group that this targets, isn't that correct?
 5
 6
        Α
              Correct.
 7
             No swastika is pictured in this photo.
              No swastika in this photo; however,
 8
        Α
 9
   looking at the greater picture --
10
              I'm sorry, the --
        Q
11
        Α
              I'm sorry.
12
              The swastikas were found in his home?
        Q
13
        Α
              Correct.
14
              There is nothing in that post around that
        Q
15
   wolf, isn't that correct?
16
        Α
              There is not.
17
              And Exhibit 12 -- there we go, the Secret
18
   Service -- okay. This was a search on, you said
19
   recently, last week, correct?
20
              Yes, Ma'am.
        Α
21
              Okay. And where was the Secret Service
22
   badge found?
23
              In the residence. I believe in his
        Α
24
   bedroom.
25
        Q
              And just sitting on the bed? Was it in a
```

```
1
   drawer?
            Was it in a case? Was it in a container?
 2
              I don't recall.
        Α
 3
              Were you there?
        Q
 4
        Α
              I was there.
 5
              So you have a photo of it. That's where
 6
   somebody put it to take a photo of it; isn't that
 7
   correct?
 8
        Α
              Correct.
 9
        Q
              And you have no idea whether he had this
10
   in like a box, where he might have other
11
   collectibles? You don't know where it was, do you?
12
        Α
              I don't recall the exact location.
13
         Q
              Was it in his pocket?
14
        Α
              No.
15
              Was it on his person?
         Q
16
        Α
              It was not.
17
              Was it in a jacket pocket?
        0
18
        Α
              No.
19
              And the box of ammunition, where was that
         0
20
   found?
21
              I don't recall the exact location of this
        Α
22
   box of ammunition.
23
              So for all you know, it could have been on
24
   a closet shelf?
25
        Α
              Potentially, yes.
```

```
1
             And this bag of bullets, Exhibit 13, where
 2
   was that found?
 3
              In the residence. I don't recall the
   exact location.
             You don't know where it was? No firearms
 5
 6
   were discovered in the residence, correct?
 7
        Α
             Correct.
 8
              So these bullets weren't in proximity to a
        0
   firearm or a magazine or anything like that?
10
              There were magazines in the residence.
        Α
                                                      I
   don't know if these bullets were in proximity to a
11
12
   magazine.
13
              You don't know where it was found. Again,
   it could have been in a box on a closet shelf.
14
15
   could have been under the bed. It could have been
   in a drawer.
16
17
        Α
             Yes, Ma'am.
18
        Q
             Now he had these -- I'm looking at
   Exhibits 14 and 15, the two -- the motion and order
19
20
   to show cause and the contempt order.
                                           That was
21
   paperwork in his home, correct?
22
              Correct. Yes, Ma'am.
        Α
23
             All right. Where was it found?
        Q
24
              I don't recall the exact location.
        Α
25
        Q
              Moving on to Exhibit 16, what is this item
```

```
1
   that has the swastika on it?
 2
        Α
              A flag.
 3
              A flag, and so this is a closet that it's
   found in?
 4
 5
              That's what it looks like, yes, Ma'am.
 6
              Do you know whether -- I mean, was the
 7
   closet door open? Was it there like that, or was it
 8
   pulled out of something?
              I don't recall.
 9
        Α
10
              So you don't know whether he had that
11
   folded up and in a container with other
12
   collectables?
13
        Α
              Correct.
14
              Moving on to Exhibit 17, the box with the
         Q
15
   tactical gear and the ballistic vest, now those are
   in a container.
16
17
        Α
              Yes, Ma'am.
18
         Q
              And what room of the house is this?
19
        Α
              His bedroom.
20
              His bedroom. His bedroom or their
        Q
21
   bedroom?
22
        Α
              A bedroom.
23
              A bedroom. So you don't know whose
24
   bedroom it was?
25
        Α
              Correct.
```

50

```
1
        Q
              Could you tell, was there a bed in that
 2
   bedroom?
 3
        Α
              Yes.
              And was there evidence of whether somebody
 4
        Q
   was sleeping in it or whether it was a guest room or
 6
   something like that?
 7
        Α
              I couldn't say.
 8
              And with respect to the items found in
        Q
   this box, did you all open the box to take the
10
   photos?
11
              I don't know exactly.
        Α
12
              You don't know if somebody opened the box
        Q
13
   or not?
              Uh-huh.
14
        Α
15
              But it is in a container?
16
        Α
              Correct.
17
              He wasn't wearing these items?
        0
18
        Α
              Correct.
19
              He hasn't been arrested or found wearing
20
   these items in any, you know, in the altercations
   and incidents you've testified to?
21
22
        Α
              Correct.
23
              You don't have any photos of him wearing
24
   these items, correct?
25
        Α
              I don't know about these particular items,
```

1 no. 2 And with respect to -- I believe you got Q 3 some close-up pictures. Is that what, 18 and 19, are some of the knives and things that are found? 5 Α Yes. 6 Q In the bedroom? 7 Α Uh-huh. 8 You seized those items. Did you remove 0 them? 9 10 We removed the law enforcement badges. Α 11 And he wasn't -- those badges are 12 displayed along with the knives on some type of --13 is that a magnetic bar, like one might put knives they don't use, the knives, or were they somehow 14 15 affixed to the bar? 16 Α Yes, they were. Seemed like magnets on 17 the bar. 18 Q So they are all in a row. They are all on 19 a bar. They are all in this room, correct? 20 Α Correct. 21 He wasn't wearing a badge? 0 22 Α Not at that time. 23 In other words, you didn't find badges, Q 24 like police badges that were affixed to a jacket or 25 a pocket, or a police uniform in his possession,

1 correct? 2 We found a police placard attached to one Α 3 of the ballistic vests, but none of the badges attached. Is that the ballistic vest that is found 5 6 in this container? 7 Α Yes. 8 You can see the word "police" there. 0 So that wasn't something that he was wearing? Α 10 Correct. 11 He also has, looking at Exhibit 18, a 12 knife collection, some of them look like they have a 13 flag, American flag print on them. Α 14 Correct. 15 Some, I can't tell, it might be a little bit of camouflage, something on those handles? 16 17 Α Yes, correct. 18 Q And then a United States Army badge above 19 that, so a display, as one might say, correct? 20 Α Correct. 21 And then Exhibit 19 is just a close-up of 22 that, correct? 23 Correct. A different location. 18 and Α 19, weren't the same. 24 25 Q Eighteen and 19. But this, looking at 17,

```
this is a close-up of the bar right by the
 2
   container, right?
 3
        Α
              Yes, Ma'am.
              And so one of these badges say "special
 4
   police." do you know where that is from?
              I don't.
 6
        Α
 7
              The other one says "security enforcement
 8
   officer." Do you know where that is from?
 9
        Α
              I don't.
              Exhibit 20, you've got -- you said a speed
10
11
   check, so that's something like an officer might
12
   point at a car to determine rate of speed?
13
        Α
              Yes.
14
              And do you know whether it was
        Q
15
   operational?
16
        Α
              I don't.
17
              Do you know whether he was using it for
18
   anything?
19
        Α
              I don't.
20
              So where was it found? I see it is on
        Q
21
   sort of a camouflage bag. Was it in that bag?
22
        Α
              I believe it was.
23
              Okay. And where was the bag found?
24
              It was in that same room. I don't know
        Α
25
   the exact location.
```

```
1
              So in the same room with the container and
 2
   then the things displayed on the magnetic bars,
 3
   correct?
 4
        Α
              Yes.
              So Exhibit 21, I see that those items are
 5
 6
   displayed on a weight bench. Is that right?
 7
        Α
              Yes.
 8
              And I think you said one of them is a
 9
   taser. Was it operational?
10
              I do not know.
        Α
11
              When the search warrant was executed, were
12
   all of these things lined up on a weight bench?
13
        Α
              They were not.
14
        Q
              Where were they?
15
        Α
              I don't recall their exact location.
16
        Q
              Were they in the room with the weight
17
   bench?
18
        Α
              If they weren't in there, they were in a
19
   closet adjacent.
20
              Was the weight bench in this bedroom or
21
   was it in a separate room?
22
              It was in that separate room.
        Α
23
              So was that more like an exercise room?
        Q
24
   mean, it had a weight bench and some weights and
25
   things like that.
```

55

```
1
        Α
              I believe it had a weight bench and
 2
   weights.
 3
        Q
             And there are some weights, you can see
   them sort of near the weight bench, correct?
 5
        Α
              Correct.
 6
        Q
              And there was a closet in there?
 7
              I don't know if it was in -- it was right
 8
   near the room, like in the entryway area.
        Q
              So you don't know whether these knives
10
   were in a container, in a closet, on a shelf, you
11
   have no idea how they were secured or contained,
12
   other than the fact that they had been now spread
13
   out over a weight bench. Is that correct?
14
        Α
              I don't know the location where they were
15
   found.
              These were not -- he wasn't wearing these
16
        Q
17
   on his person, correct?
18
        Α
              Correct.
19
             And he wasn't holding the taser, he wasn't
20
   carrying the taser when you all went in?
21
              At the time of his arrest?
        Α
22
             Yes. Was he?
        Q
23
        Α
             No.
24
              And Number Exhibit 22, you noted the
        Q
25
   swastika badge.
                     Where was this? Is this a desk or
```

```
1
   a table?
 2
              It's a desk.
        Α
 3
         0
              And where was it?
 4
        Α
              I believe in the same room as the knives
   with the magnet strips on the wall.
 6
              So a bedroom that you are not sure if
 7
   anybody occupied or not?
 8
        Α
              Correct.
 9
         0
              And so that was a drawer that has now been
10
   opened, correct?
11
        Α
              Correct.
12
              By somebody -- by law enforcement, as a
         Q
13
   part of the search?
              Correct.
14
        Α
15
              And then a photo made of this badge that
   is in the drawer, in the back of the drawer?
16
17
        Α
              Correct.
18
              He wasn't wearing the badge?
19
        Α
              He was not.
20
              The book that you testified about,
         Q
21
   Exhibits 23 and 24, where was that found?
22
              It was found in the same room, the bedroom
23
   that I'm not sure if it is being occupied, but I'm
24
   not sure of the exact location.
25
        Q
              So you don't know where this book was
```

```
1
   located?
 2
              In the bedroom.
        Α
 3
              I understand that, but don't know if that
        Q
   was in a container with the other memorabilia or
   other items of the nature that we've looked at
 6
   before, correct?
 7
        Α
              Correct.
 8
              With respect to Exhibit 25, these were
 9
   items found in the truck?
10
              Yes, Ma'am.
        Α
11
              So it looks like a hammer. You don't
12
   consider that -- well, I understand it can be used
   as a dangerous weapon, not inherently dangerous
13
   weapon, correct?
14
15
              (Inaudible.)
        Α
              So to the right, those -- are those
16
         Q
   hatchet pipes?
17
18
        Α
              They look like axes, hatchets.
              And they are pipes, though, aren't they?
19
   They got a little thing like so you could smoke it,
20
   sort of a novelty sort of thing?
21
22
        Α
              I don't know.
23
              Were they in the truck?
         Q
24
              I don't know their exact location.
        Α
25
         Q
              And so towards the seatbelt, what is that?
```

58

```
1
         Α
              I'm not sure.
 2
              And so there is honestly a knife kind of
         Q
 3
   near that item.
 4
        Α
              Correct.
 5
              Where was that found?
 6
         Α
              I don't know the exact location in the
 7
   truck.
 8
              It looks like a box cutter.
         Q
 9
         Α
              Yes, Ma'am.
10
              Do you know where that was?
         Q
11
         Α
              I don't know the exact location of that in
12
   that truck.
13
              Is there a toolbox in the truck?
14
              I don't know.
         Α
15
              So you don't know if this was in the back
16
   in a toolbox, in a box, under a seat, anything like
17
   that?
18
         Α
              Correct.
19
              With respect to Exhibit 26, now you
20
   said -- did you say this is a stun gun?
21
         Α
              Yes, Ma'am.
22
              This was not found in Mr. Hudak's truck,
         Q
23
   was it?
24
         Α
              Correct.
25
         Q
              That was in a different car?
```

59

1 Α Yes, Ma'am. 2 Not a car driven by Mr. Hudak, owned by Q Mr. Hudak? 3 4 It was parked at his residence. Α Well, did you look to see who the car was 5 6 registered to? 7 I have no knowledge of that. As a part of the search, did you find any 8 Q evidence that Mr. Hudak was an owner, possessor or driver of that car? 10 11 I have no knowledge of that. 12 And where did the search of the truck Q 13 happen when you found these other items that are in paragraph 25? 14 15 Where did it happen? Where was his truck? 16 Q 17 Α Oh, it was parked near his probation 18 office. And that's the state probation officer, 19 0 20 that wasn't at his house? 21 Correct. Yes, Ma'am. 22 So he wasn't driving this car that this 23 item was found in? 24 Correct. Α 25 So this is a legal weapon, if he doesn't

```
1
   own it, a person in general owning a stun gun?
 2
              Not to my knowledge.
        Α
              You didn't charge anybody with it?
 3
        Q
 4
        Α
              No.
              Exhibit 27, you said that's a smoke
 5
 6
   grenade. Now if you deploy that, are things going
 7
   to, like, if you deployed a smoke grenade near that
 8
   table, would the table explode?
 9
        Α
              I have no knowledge.
10
              You don't know anything about smoke
11
   grenades?
12
              Not this particular smoke grenade.
        Α
              In general, do you have knowledge of smoke
13
14
   grenades?
15
                   Not -- no. Just through training and
        Α
              No.
16
   experience.
17
              So if you would, I guess explain then in
        0
18
   your opinion, or your knowledge, the significance of
19
   a smoke grenade.
20
                             Objection, irrelevant.
             MS. McFADDEN:
21
              THE COURT: Objection, sustained.
22
   BY MS. COSTNER:
23
              Now the ammo in paragraph 28, there was no
        Q
24
   firearm associated with that ammunition, correct?
25
        Α
              Not located in our search.
```

Q	Not in the truck?
A	Yes, Ma'am.
Q	Not in the house?
A	Yes, Ma'am.
Q	Not on Mr. Hudak's person?
A	Yes, Ma'am.
Q	And what, again, what is depicted in 29?
A	Twenty-nine looks to me to be a
weapon-mo	unted light that mounts onto a firearm.
Q	A light?
A	Yes, Ma'am.
Q	No firearm associated with that that was
found in	the truck?
A	No.
Q	That was found in the house?
A	No, Ma'am.
Q	Or that was found on Mr. Hudak?
A	Correct.
	MS. COSTNER: I think that's all the
ques	tions I have.
	THE COURT: All right. I was hoping that
we w	ould get through this before we had to take
a br	eak for lunch, but (inaudible due to papers
rust	ling.) We'll be away for an hour.
	MS. McFADDEN: Your Honor, would I have an
	A Q A Q A Weapon-mo Q A Q found in A Q A Q a ques we w a br

opportunity to redirect Special Agent Franks? 1 2 THE COURT: I didn't say anything about we 3 were finished with the case, but I just can't 4 go any longer without eating something. We're breaking for lunch for one hour. 5 6 (Luncheon recess taken.) 7 THE COURT: All right. 8 MS. McFADDEN: May Special Agent Franks 9 take the stand? 10 THE COURT: Yes, she may take the stand 11 for redirect, as I recall. 12 MS. McFADDEN: That's correct, Your Honor. 13 Thank you. 14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MS. McFADDEN: Special Agent Franks, just a few follow-up 16 In terms of Mr. Hudak's arrest on 17 questions. 18 June 22nd, did that occur at his house? It did not. 19 Α 20 Where did it occur? Q 21 At his probation office. 22 Do you know the limitations as to whether 23 weapons can be carried on one's person into a 24 probation office? 25 Α Yes. They cannot be.

```
1
        Q
              Was there a reason he was arrested at the
 2
   probation office and not at his home?
 3
        Α
              It was deemed to be the safest location
   for the arrest.
              And was Mr. Hudak wearing any jewelry at
 5
 6
   the time of his arrest?
 7
        Α
              He was wearing a ring.
 8
              What type of range was it?
        0
 9
        Α
              It depicted an iron cross.
              Is that similar to the item that we saw in
10
11
   Government's exhibits earlier?
12
        Α
              Yes.
13
              And regarding the taser and whether or not
        Q
   it was operational, is it standard procedure of the
14
15
   FBI to test-fire weapons at the time they are seized
   or observed in the residence?
16
             No, Ma'am.
17
        Α
18
        Q
             And why is that?
19
             Unsafe.
        Α
20
              And finally, regarding Mr. Hudak and J.S.,
        Q
21
   to your knowledge, did they have any pre-existing
22
   relationship prior to that encounter on the Concord
23
   Parkway?
24
              Not to my knowledge. I understand that
        Α
25
   J.S. had seen Mr. Hudak before and they -- Mr. Hudak
```

1 had flipped J.S. off. 2 But that was the extent of your Q 3 understanding of any relationship that they had? 4 Α Yes. MS. McFADDEN: I have no further 5 6 questions, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Recross? 8 MS. COSTNER: No recross. 9 THE COURT: All right. You may step down. We have no further evidence 10 MS. McFADDEN: to present in this matter and only proffer to 11 12 the Court the contents of the pretrial services 13 report that was prepared. All right. Do you want to be 14 THE COURT: 15 heard with respect to the pretrial services 16 report? 17 Yes, Your Honor. MS. COSTNER: I have 18 reviewed the pretrial services report with my 19 client, and we do have a couple of issues to 20 bring forth. 21 May I have just a moment to 22 find -- because it was disclosed this morning, 23 I couldn't print it, so if the Court will just 24 give me a minute to find it. 25 THE COURT: You may have a minute.

Thank you. If I may pull it 1 MS. COSTNER: 2 I did download it to my iPad, Your Honor, up. 3 if I may just pull that up for a moment to refer to it. 4 All right. While you're doing 5 THE COURT: 6 that, Ms. McFadden, had you intended to offer 7 these exhibits? 8 Thank you, Your Honor. MS. McFADDEN: 9 That was remise of me. I would like to offer 10 Government's Exhibits 1 through 29 into 11 evidence. 12 THE COURT: All right. MS. COSTNER: Your Honor, with respect --13 THE COURT: Wait a minute. 14 15 Go ahead. 16 MS. COSTNER: With respect to the 17 presentence report, on page -- I'm sorry, 18 pretrial, the bail report, Your Honor, on page 19 four under mental health and substance abuse, 20 he just wanted me to make sure that the 21 Court -- and it doesn't really say, but he no 22 longer takes the Zolpiden for sleep. He did 23 take it in 2020, but he no longer takes that 24 prescription medicine. 25 With respect to the -- on page -- hold on

just a minute. On page four, where it shows the prior criminal history, the charge on 11/7/2020, according to Mr. Hudak, he was not charged with misdemeanor concealing a gun. It was concealing a weapon. The weapon was a knife. So he would ask for that correction.

On page -- up at the top, the charge on February 18th, 2021, he recalls being charged with that. He does not recall the disposition where it says it was consolidated for judgment, 10 days imprisonment, suspended for six months. He's not contesting it necessarily, Your Honor, but he does not recall it, so he cannot agree that that is an accurate statement of what happened with that charge.

On page -- it's at the top where it describes a probation violation. Mr. Hudak, agrees about all of the weapons that are mentioned, but he denies that a stun gun was located on that occasion.

Finally, going back to page two, it would be the fourth paragraph that begins with, "The probation officer spoke with the defendant's daughter."

In speaking both with Mr. Hudak and his

daughter, he denies saying that she suffers from anxiety and is not dependable. In fact, he would propose her as a third-party custodian.

I talked with Ms. Hudak, his daughter, and she further supported the denial of suffering from anxiety and being not dependable, and is willing to serve, and I can get into that later, Your Honor.

But, so were it -- I don't know that it mentions it in the recommendations but, you know, with respect to the probation officer's discussion of Ms. Hudak as a possible third-party custodian, that would be all of the corrections or changes that we would request to the bail report.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Is there evidence for the defendant?

MS. COSTNER: Your Honor, there would be evidence with respect to detention, not with respect to probable cause or the preliminary examination in this matter.

Your Honor, I would call Ingrid Hudak, my client's daughter.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

1	(INGRID HUDAK, DEFENSE WITNESS, WAS
2	SWORN.)
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION
4	BY MS. COSTNER:
5	Q Good afternoon, Ms. Hudak. If you would,
6	for the record, please state your name.
7	A Ingrid Michelle Hudak.
8	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Do you recognize the person seated next to
9	me?
10	A Yes.
11	Q How do you know Mr. Hudak?
12	A He is my father.
13	Q How old are you, Ms. Hudak?
14	A Twenty-one.
15	Q And where do you reside?
16	A 1765 Redford Circle in Concord, North
17	Carolina 28025.
18	Q Up until last week, who did you reside
19	with?
20	A I was in my home.
21	Q And did any one else live there?
22	A No.
23	Q Prior to your dad's arrest last week, did
24	any one else live in your home?
25	A No. My sister comes.

```
1
        Q
              I'm not sure you are understanding me.
 2
   Maybe I can just make it a little more -- did your
 3
   father ever live in the home with you?
 4
        Α
              Oh, yes, yes.
              So he would be a person that lived with
 5
 6
   you there, is that correct?
 7
              Yes.
                    That's correct.
 8
              And how long -- have you resided with him
        0
   most of your life, part of your life, a couple of
10
   years?
11
              All of my life.
        Α
12
              Now your parents, are they together or are
        Q
13
   they separated?
14
        Α
              Separated.
15
              And where does your mother live?
              She lives on Birchfield -- 5833
16
        Α
   Bridgefield Lane in Concord, North Carolina.
17
18
        Q
              After your parents separated, who did you
19
   reside with?
20
        Α
              My father.
21
              And he has been there for the entire time?
         0
22
        Α
              Yes.
23
              Do you have -- are you currently employed?
         Q
24
              No.
        Α
25
         Q
              And tell the Court -- you're 21 years old,
```

1 is that correct? 2 Α Yes. 3 And so have you graduated from high Q school? 4 5 Α Yes. And tell the Court a little bit about 6 Q 7 that, and your diploma and other degrees you may 8 have earned during high school. 9 Α I graduated Cabarrus Community 10 College of Technology, and at the same time that I 11 graduated with my high school diploma, I also 12 graduated with an associates degree in science from 13 Rowan Cabarrus Community College in Concord. 14 Then I proceeded to go to college at 15 Cabarrus College of Health Sciences and obtained my occupational therapy degree. So I am a certified 16 17 occupational therapy assistant. 18 Q And where did you receive that degree from the Cabarrus College of Health Sciences? 19 20 Α Western. 21 Tell the Court a little bit about what 22 that degree allows you to do. 23 It helps me treat patients in occupational Α 24 therapy, so if they have a stroke, I can work on 25

> UNITED STATES vs. MARIAN HUDAK Preliminary Examination & Detention 6/26/23

their goals and work towards their independence.

1 Q And you do have a license in that? 2 Α Yes. 3 Q Is that required as a part of -- for somebody to work in that field? 4 Α 5 Yes. 6 Q And what were your grades like in college? 7 I was always an A-plus honor roll student. Α 8 After you graduated did you have to take a Q 9 test or anything to get that certification? 10 Α Yes. And did you pass that on the first try? 11 Q 12 Definitely. Α 13 Did you become employed after you received Q your degree? 14 15 Α Yes. And when was that? 16 Q 17 Α It was from August to February. 18 Q And what year? 2022 to 2023. 19 Α 20 Q And are you still -- where were you 21 working? Where did you get hired? 22 I was working in Charlotte, but because of Α the commute, I decided to resign and look for 23 24 something closer. 25 Q So you were commuting from Concord to

```
1
   Charlotte, is that correct?
 2
        Α
              Yes.
 3
              So you voluntarily left that position in
   February of 2023?
 4
 5
        Α
              Yes.
 6
        Q
              Have you been searching for employment
 7
   since that time?
 8
        Α
              Yes.
 9
         Q
              Are you doing any other work?
              No.
10
        Α
11
              You and your dad have lived together
12
   during that time. Do you know whether he works?
13
        Α
              He is on disability.
14
        Q
              And do you know what the nature of that
15
   disability is?
              He hurt his back.
16
        Α
17
              Was that a car accident?
         0
18
        Α
              Yes.
19
        0
              And does he receive disability as a part
20
   of -- you know, because of the accident?
21
        Α
              Yes.
22
              Is that -- during the time that -- since
   you've come out of work, have you been able
23
24
   to -- you have been able to pay bills and, you know,
25
   sort of pay for the expenses of day-to-day living?
```

- **A** Definitely.
- A My father is a very caring, loving, and respectable individual. I have been with him ever since I was born, and he has always been there for me and he has always cared for me. I know that I can trust him and I love him as well and he is always there for me. He cares for me and I know that I can survive and that he can survive with me and I with him.
- 12 **Q** Now you are aware of your father's arrest 13 last week, is that correct?
- 14 **A** Yes.
- 15 **Q** You know that he has been in jail since 16 that time?
- 17 **A** Yes.
- 18 **Q** Have you and I reviewed some of the 19 requirements that might be required of your dad 20 should the Court grant pretrial release?
- 21 **A** Yes.
- Q It was noted in the bail report, that you suffer from anxiety. Could you just tell the Court a little bit about whether or not that is true?
- 25 A That is not true. I'm not sure why that

```
was alleged on me.
                        I have never been to a mental
 2
   health doctor, nor diagnosed with any mental health
 3
   disorders, so that is false.
 4
              Do you know why it would have said in the
   report that you were irresponsible?
 5
 6
        Α
              I don't know. You can ask any person that
 7
   I know, they always would tell you that I'm
 8
   responsible. I'm always positive, I'm nice, I'm
 9
   kind.
              And you completed college?
10
        Q
11
        Α
              Yes.
12
              Got a certification?
        Q
13
        Α
              Yes.
14
              And you've actively been seeking
        Q
15
   employment, is that correct?
              That's correct.
16
        Α
17
              When you spoke with the probation officer
18
   that prepared the pretrial report, did you two
19
   discuss the duties of a third-party custodian?
20
              Yes.
        Α
21
              You understand that you would
22
   become -- have some responsibilities, isn't that
23
   correct?
24
        Α
              That's correct.
25
        Q
              And part of that would be to make sure
```

75

```
that your father abides by all of the terms and
 2
   conditions of pretrial release?
 3
        Α
              Yes.
 4
              Did you and I review those conditions?
 5
        Α
              Yes.
 6
              And that you would be responsible if you
 7
   found that he wasn't abiding by those terms and
 8
   conditions, it would be your responsibility to
   report that, is that correct?
10
              That's correct.
        Α
11
              Are those obligations that you are willing
12
   to take on?
13
        Α
              Yes.
14
             And to make sure that your dad complies
        Q
15
   with every term and condition?
16
        Α
              Definitely.
17
             Now even though you're his daughter and
   you're young, do you feel that you have the
19
   fortitude, if there is a problem, to address it, as
20
   you agreed to do before the Court in being a
21
   third-party custodian?
22
                    I have always been a strong and
              Yes.
   dedicated individual, and I am able to comply with
23
24
   this.
25
        Q
              Now one of the conditions would be that he
```

would be on home incarceration, and that would mean 2 he would be locked-down to the house. Did we 3 discuss that? Α Yes. 4 And you understand, don't you, that he 5 6 couldn't leave the house except for very few 7 exceptions, such as doctor's appointments, meeting 8 with the attorney or court. Is that your understanding? 10 Α Yes. 11 So if your father needs something from the 12 grocery store or pick up, you know, a household item 13 or something from the drug store, is that something that you are willing to support him and do for him? 14 15 Definitely. Α 16 Did we also review that a part of the 17 conditions would be electronic monitoring? Did you 18 see that? 19 Α Yes. 20 And as a part of that, your dad would be Q 21 required to wear the monitor and be accountable for 22 that monitor. Is that your understanding? 23 Α Yes. 24 And are those obligations something that Q 25 you're willing to support, oversee, and make sure

```
1
   that he follows those recommendations?
 2
        Α
              Yes.
 3
         Q
              Let me just say, do you believe he is
   capable of following those recommendations?
 4
              Definitely.
 5
        Α
 6
        Q
              Do you think he needs you to watch over
 7
   him?
 8
        Α
              No.
 9
        Q
              But you're willing to do that, is that
10
   correct?
11
        Α
              Yes.
12
              And the final recommendation was that
        Q
13
   there would no internet, no social media, no
   computer accessibility for your dad. You saw that,
14
15
   right?
16
        Α
              Yes.
17
              And being 21, I understand that that's a
        0
   big deal for people in your age group, but is that
19
   something you're willing to forego over the next
20
   several months if your dad is granted pretrial
21
   release?
22
              Definitely.
        Α
23
              Now you were here during the hearing,
         Q
24
   testimony earlier?
25
        Α
              Yes.
```

```
1
        0
              And so you saw testimony about items in
 2
   the house that could be considered contraband,
 3
   correct?
 4
        Α
              Yes.
              Are those items that you're willing to
 5
 6
   have removed from the house if there is anything
 7
   left?
 8
        Α
             Yes.
 9
        0
              And if the Judge, Judge Webster were to
10
   say nothing like that could be in the house, would
11
   that be something that you would be willing to be
12
   vigilant about in terms of making sure it wasn't in
13
   the house, removing it, or even contacting probation
   if you saw something like that that came in that you
14
15
   had never seen before?
16
        Α
              Definitely.
17
              Tell the Court, though, your belief about
   your dad's ability to follow all of those
19
   requirements?
20
             My father will follow all of those
21
   requirements. He is responsible, and I know that he
22
   will do it.
23
              And do you pledge to help him in any way
24
   that he needs to successfully fulfill all of the
25
   requirements of pretrial release?
```

1	A	Yes.
	A	
2	_	MS. COSTNER: That's all the questions I
3	have	•
4		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
5		THE COURT: Cross.
6		MS. McFADDEN: Just briefly, Your Honor.
7		CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	BY MS. Mcl	FADDEN:
9	Q	Ms. Hudak, you were at the residence last
10	week when	it was searched, is that right?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	And you met Special Agent Franks?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	And she gave you her contact information?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	She returned your phone to you, actually,
17	a couple o	of days ago?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Do you recall leaving a voice-mail on
20	Special A	gent Franks' cell phone last evening about
21	this case	?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Do you recall telling her that your father
24	was setup'	?
25	A	Yes.

80

Do you recall telling her that she 1 Q 2 believes the lies of the illegal Mexicans? 3 Α Yes. 4 Now in terms of your work situation, how Q long was your commute when you were working your job in Charlotte? 6 7 Fifteen minutes, sometimes an hour with 8 traffic. Q And it is your testimony under oath, that 10 you resigned from that job, not that you were let go, is that correct? 11 12 Α Yes. 13 Would it surprise you that your father told agents after he was arrested, you were actually 14 15 let go from that job? 16 Α Say that again. Would it surprise you to learn that your 17 18 father told agents after he was arrested, that you 19 were let go from that job? 20 Α Yes, because I resigned. 21 So it is still your testimony that you 22 resigned? 23 Α Yes. 24 Prior to your father being placed on state Q 25 probation, did he have firearms in the home?

1		
1	A	No.
2	Q	You've never seen a firearm in your
3	father's	home before, is that correct?
4	A	Yes. There was before probation, yes.
5	Q	Do you recall what kind of firearms?
6	A	Like AR-15 and like handguns. A handgun.
7	Q	Do you recall approximately how many?
8	A	No.
9	Q	Do you recall where he kept them in the
10	home?	
11	A	In his room.
12	Q	Is there a lock on his door?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Did he ever leave his room unlocked?
15	A	No.
16	Q	In terms of your current work situation,
17	you're no	ot bringing in any income, is that correct?
18	A	No.
19	Q	Who pays the bills for the house?
20	A	My father.
21	Q	And you have a cell phone, right?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Who pays the bills for your cell phone?
24	A	My father.
25	Q	And do you have a car to drive?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Who pays for the insurance for the
3	vehicle?	
4	A	My father.
5	Q	Who pays for the gas for that vehicle?
6	A	My father.
7	Q	Do you have health insurance?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Who pays the premiums for your health
10	insurance	?
11	A	I have Medicaid.
12		MS. McFADDEN: I have no further
13	ques	tions, Your Honor.
14		MS. COSTNER: Just a couple, Your Honor.
15		THE COURT: All right.
16		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MS. CO	STNER:
18	Q	Ms. Hudak, you were asked about the
19	voice-mai	l that you left for the agent. Now despite
20	your feel	ings about whether or not your father is
21	guilty of	these charges, does that impact your
22	commitmen	t to abiding by any terms of pretrial
23	release t	nat are set by Judge Webster?
24	A	No.
25	Q	And you can set aside your feelings about

```
whether or not your dad could be convicted of those
   charges, and make sure that he is successful and to
 2
 3
   assist him in being successful on pretrial release?
        Α
              Yes.
 4
              And if one of those conditions is he stays
 5
 6
   off of Facebook or something like that, you're
 7
   willing to not have internet, not have access to
 8
   Facebook, and make sure that that is not a part of
   what is going on in your house or what is available
10
   in your home, is that correct?
11
        Α
              Yes.
12
              You were asked about whether your dad told
        Q
13
   agents that you were let go from your job. What is
   your dad's country of origin?
14
15
        Α
              Slovakia.
16
        Q
              Does he speak that language?
17
        Α
             Yes.
18
        Q
             And are there times language is a barrier,
19
   you know, struggles where your dad is concerned?
20
        Α
              Yes.
21
              Is there a possibility that your dad was
22
   trying to communicate that you left your job but may
   have mistakenly communicated that you were let go of
23
24
   your job?
25
        Α
                    He knows that I resigned.
```

1 Q Did you give notice at your job? 2 Α Yes. 3 How much notice did you give? Q 4 Α Thirty days. With respect to the questions about -- so 5 6 you testified that your dad's bedroom door was 7 locked. No access to either of the firearms for anybody except your dad, is that correct? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 And you were asked about the finance situation in your home. Your father receives a 11 12 disability check, doesn't he? 13 Α Yes. 14 Q While you were working and receiving an 15 income, did you help contribute to the household 16 expenses? 17 Α Definitely. 18 Q Was that something that if you were to get 19 a job you would expect to do in the future? 20 Α Definitely. 21 But at this point in time, you are not 22 working, you are able to be home with your dad and 23 able to serve as a third-party custodian almost on a 24 24/7 basis, isn't that correct? 25 Α Correct.

1 That's all of the questions MS. COSTNER: 2 I have. 3 THE COURT: You said that the agent was believing -- I didn't take the note down 4 5 completely, were believing what the illegal 6 Mexicans had said. How do know they were all 7 illegal? 8 THE WITNESS: Well, I don't know that for 9 a fact, like if it's true, but I do think it 10 is, because Abby Duarte does have a fiancee, 11 Ray David Martinez Santos, who does not speak 12 Spanish, and she also assumed that we were 13 illegals, and told my father to go back to Russia. She has called me multiple names, 14 15 harassed me. And her husband illegally pointed 16 a loaded handgun on my father. 17 THE COURT: There is something in the 18 report here about the passport being in a safe 19 at his wife's residence, and that she was not 20 going to let the -- I guess is that your mother 21 or the --22 Yes, my mom. THE WITNESS: 23 Won't let anybody come in to THE COURT: 24 Is that the case? get it. 25 THE WITNESS: I mean, that's what she told

1 me, but if you were to come, I believe she 2 would let you in and retrieve the passport. 3 THE COURT: Did you hear all of the evidence today? 4 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. 6 THE COURT: I heard some evidence to the 7 fact that when the police came on the scene, 8 your dad was allegedly -- I can't remember 9 exactly, was it using the N word or using -- or 10 hollering something? Do you remember what that 11 was? 12 MS. McFADDEN: There were multiple occasions of that, Your Honor. 13 14 **THE COURT:** But with the police? 15 MS. McFADDEN: Yes. I believe the 16 police -- you may be referring to the incident 17 at the Sam's Club, when he was broadcasting. 18 THE COURT: And I'm just trying to -- I'm 19 trying to compare that, or contrast that with 20 your description of him as very caring, loving 21 and respectful person. And then you said 22 responsible. I'm just trying to wrap my head 23 around how all of those things could be true if 24 the alleged -- if the facts that I've heard 25 today are true.

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, a lot of people that were producing video today, like J.S. and I'm not sure of the other initials, Abby Duarte, they have reported a lot of false allegations towards my father, and they have started the problems.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My neighbor has always harassed me. called me a bitch, and I have never heard my father ever calling somebody the N word, threatening, ever using weapons of any kind towards anybody. If anything, weapons were always pointed at him, like the guy at the apartment complex, when my father went there, he hopped out of the car -- popped out of his sports car, which I do have a video of, it's evidence, that he pointed a rifle at him, and his girlfriend, I'm assuming, sped away. they have always flipped him off. Always have cursed him out, and just to get on his nerves and cause problems, because I guess they are against what my father stands for and they don't want him representing his freedom.

THE COURT: If I ask a question, you all can -- either, both sides can follow up.

MS. McFADDEN: I have nothing further,

1 Your Honor. 2 MS. COSTNER: I have just a couple, Your 3 Honor. 4 FURTHER EXAMINATION 5 BY MS. COSTNER: 6 Q You were asked questions about your 7 neighbors and why you think that they are illegal 8 and then about the other folks, your father's language. If your father is allowed out on pretrial 10 release, do you believe that you and he can, you 11 know, ignore the neighbors, regardless of what they 12 say or you say or anything like that, and abide by 13 the Court's order? 14 Α Yes. 15 You would do that? 16 Α My father doesn't even -- like before all 17 of this --18 Q Let me interrupt you for a minute, because 19 what I'm trying to -- I'm not trying to -- I'm 20 talking about if the Court orders and allows your dad to come home, he will be under home 21 22 incarceration, is that correct, you understand? 23 Α Yes. 24 So he wouldn't be coming out of the house. Q 25 Α Yes.

```
1
        0
              He might come out of the house on
 2
   occasion.
 3
        Α
              Yes.
 4
              You are able to go get in your car and go
        Q
   do what you need to do and come back?
 5
 6
        Α
             Definitely.
 7
        Q
              And you would support your father, the
 8
   efforts and the orders that your father must follow
   where all of that is concerned, is that correct?
10
        Α
              Yes.
11
              And with respect to -- you were asked
        Q
12
   about the passport. Now Judge Webster probably
13
   wouldn't go get the passport, but if a United States
   Probation Officer went to your mother's house and
14
15
   requested that passport, do you believe your mother
16
   will give the passport?
17
        Α
             Yes.
18
             MS. COSTNER: That's all the questions I
19
        have.
20
              THE COURT:
                          As to that question, we have
21
         in here that your father was the only one who
22
        knew how to use -- would be able to get into
23
        the safe or something.
24
                                  It is in a safe.
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
                                                     And,
25
        yes, sir, he can only get into it.
```

1	MS. COSTNER: Do you mind if I ask one
2	quick follow-up? Do you believe your father
3	would give you the combination?
4	THE WITNESS: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Any further questions of this
6	witness?
7	MS. McFADDEN: Nothing from the United
8	States, Your Honor.
9	MS. COSTNER: No, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: You may step down.
11	Further evidence for the defendant?
12	MS. COSTNER: No, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: All right. I'll hear from the
14	defense first.
15	MS. COSTNER: Your Honor, do you want me
16	to address both the probable cause and the
17	detention hearing or one and one or I don't
18	know how the Court wants to do that.
19	THE COURT: I guess maybe I
20	misinterpreted, I thought that you were not
21	conceding probable cause.
22	MS. COSTNER: No, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: I'll hear you on both.
24	MS. COSTNER: I'll address the probable
25	cause first. Your Honor, certainly I

understand the low threshold that dictates to find probable cause, and just would submit a couple of things for the Court to consider.

Your Honor, first of all, with respect -- I looked at both statutes, and under 18 U.S.C. 245(b)(2)(B), it says by force or threat willfully injures, intimidates or interferes with or attempts to injure, intimidate or interfere with any person because of his race, color, religion or national origin, and I'm going to highlight this, because he is or has been participating or enjoying any benefit, service, privilege, program, facility actively provided or administrated by any state or subdivision thereof.

And, Your Honor, I would just say even in the light most favorable to the Government, the Court heard no evidence that any interaction between my client and J.S. was because he was enjoying the benefit, and I'm assuming that the Government means riding on the road. They have not linked up any alleged behavior with that, that it happened because this person was riding --

THE COURT: What would be an example of a -- because of using the road?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. COSTNER: That's a good question, Your I would -- so, first of all, I would say that this situation appears to be sort of a road rage, and I'm not sure what happened, and I don't know that any of us know what happened. We did hear evidence that J.S. knew of my client, but there had at least maybe been some interaction that he noticed the car and he was looking at the car, but there is no evidence that anything that my client did was intended to prevent him from riding on the road. both were on the road. They both exited off They stopped at a stop sign, and the road. from what it sounds like is, J.S. had a firearm.

Now, there is no question as to whether he actively pointed it at that point or not. They go to the apartment complex. I didn't hear any evidence that any of the parking area of the apartment complex falls within activity provided or administered by any state or subdivision of the state, so I didn't hear any evidence about that part of the altercation

that it occurred in that area.

So when I look at it, Your Honor, I see two people riding down the road engaging in some type of, you know, action, road rage type, stopping at a stop sign and then continuing on.

So when I look at the statute, and I examine it with the evidence that the Court heard, I would just contend that they have not, even with the very low threshold that the Government has, they really haven't established as to why this happened, and what they have to show is that it happened because the person, the victim, J.S. is or has been, you know, participating or enjoying in this benefit, so that causal relationship was not established.

What would be, Your Honor, maybe a roadblock, where the roadblock is clearly somebody like, you know, and I'm -- I understand the Court heard something about a roadblock in the apartment complex but, again, Your Honor, there is no evidence that that part of the complex is a state managed or administered area, and so perhaps on a public road some people put up a roadblock that is clearly racist or interfering with, you know,

or directed it towards people of color or national origin or something, and refusing it at that point, maybe letting only people that they believe should pass come and go through, and making the other folks turnaround and go.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I mean, that's just an example that comes off the top of my head, Your Honor, but that's not what happened here. What happened here is, two people that apparently had had some interaction in the past, were driving down the road and if -- you know, this is what Mr. Hudak is alleged to have said. I mean, unfortunately -- I mean, it is not illegal for him to say it, and we also don't know what was said back, because J.S. certainly didn't admit to any behavior on his own, except that he had firearms. He had a firearm in his car and what did he ask for in this parking lot? He asked for his assault rifle. And you heard no evidence of a firearm on the part of Mr. Hudak. What you heard was, we didn't do a real good search.

Well, I guess, Your Honor, I would just say, if there was really good strong evidence and a belief that somebody had pointed a

firearm at three people and threatened to kill them, that there would be a pretty thorough search of that car, and it wouldn't just be, oh, well, there is a lot of stuff in this car, and I just can't really tell. I mean, that to me, is just completely unreasonable, Your Honor.

There was no firearm found because there was no firearm in his car. So that's what I would say as to the first charge, Your Honor.

With respect to the second, this altercation, you know, with the neighbors. You know, again, whoever -- whether or not acting under color of law by force or threat, willfully injures or interferes with or attempts to injure, intimidate or interfere with any person because of race, color, religion, sex, handicap, national origin, and because he is or has been, and I'm just going to jump to occupying any dwelling.

So first, Your Honor heard no evidence that this was in fact the dwelling of the neighbors next door. You heard, well, the Duartes were there and there was this altercation. Really, the evidence you heard

1 is, that these folks, especially this one 2 person on this occasion pulled in and Mr. Hudak 3 came out and said, your headlights are -- my 4 daughter can't sleep, and that caused the 5 argument. And, Your Honor, that's not the type 6 of argument or altercation that's called for in 7 that statute. That's, you're interfering with 8 my ability, you know, my children to sleep. 9 And, Your Honor, just kind of our experience in 10 life, those kinds of altercations happen in the regular experience in life. 11 They are not 12 great. We hope they don't. But who invited 13 who to fight? You heard the evidence. 14 neighbor invited and brought on the fight, and 15 then when the fight wasn't going so well, the 16 neighbor got a shotgun and pointed it at 17 Mr. Hudak, and that's what started the 18 altercation. 19 You did hear evidence of some very 20 unpleasant things that were said, very racist 21 or --22 THE COURT: Do you contend that the evidence I heard was, that he referred to 23 24 persons of color with the N word? 25 MS. COSTNER: He did.

1 THE COURT: Do you not believe that 2 invites someone to fight? 3 MS. COSTNER: Well, Your Honor, first of all, maybe I don't understand your question. 4 Do you mind repeating it? 5 6 THE COURT: Calling someone the N word, is 7 there law or anything that says whether that is 8 or is not fighting words? 9 MS. COSTNER: I don't know of a law that 10 says that, if what we are talking about is the 11 legal framework of this. Now whether that's -- I mean, there are 12 13 all kinds of other ways we can judge those words, but if we are looking at an application 14 15 of these statutes to his actions then, you 16 know, despite what our personal feelings might 17 or might not be about those words, I cannot 18 find support for the fact that it is illegal to 19 say them, and especially when we're looking at 20 the context of this statute and, again, we get 21 to -- so you've got the first part of the 22 statute with respect to the neighbor and the 23 enjoyment of the --24 Do you have a copy of the THE COURT: 25 statute?

1 MS. COSTNER: Yes, Your Honor, I do. 2 can pull it up. I have both to hand up. If I 3 may approach. 4 THE COURT: You may. MS. COSTNER: Does Your Honor want me to 5 6 wait a minute for the Court to --7 THE COURT: No, you can go on. 8 MS. COSTNER: Thank you, Your Honor. 9 you look at 42 U.S.C. 3631, again, you have, "and because." The actions have to be because 10 this person is occupying this house. 11 12 again, Your Honor, even under this very --13 THE COURT: Is there a law or anything 14 that says whether that is or is not fighting 15 words? MS. COSTNER: Again, you have, "and 16 17 because." So the actions have to be because 18 the person, the victim, is occupying this 19 house. And again, Your Honor, even under this 20 very relaxed standard that the Court has to 21 look at, I would just contend that there is no 22 evidence that this altercation or this -- what 23 is charged is because these people are 24 occupying the house. They were neighbors. 25 They do occupy the house.

If the Court believes there was sufficient evidence to show that and, again, I'm not conceding one bit, but did the actions that Mr. Hudak is alleged to have committed rise to the level of this crime, was it because they lived there? Is it because some bad blood started when the male victim, I don't know, Mr. Duarte, whoever, pulled in and began to shine the lights in the house and that caused the fight, which then led to the firearm, which then led to further bad blood?

Your Honor, they present evidence that shows -- so what do we see? We see, first of all, there is the social media. Well, Your Honor heard, yes, Mr. Hudak posted about his dislike for his neighbors. I mean, he did. You saw what he posted.

There is no evidence that this was something that the neighbors saw, Facebook friends that he was directing it to them, that he was making threats. He was venting and saying things that weren't very nice. I mean, I can't say that otherwise.

You know, I believe that -- or I would contend that the neighbor started this with the

pointing of the firearm.

Your Honor saw -- Your Honor heard, when I asked, well, my client didn't pull a gun and we've heard about these other bad acts as well that they want to sort of pile on. He's out there. He's saying things that, you know, are -- I mean, socially unacceptable. Are they illegal? No. I mean, in that context.

When he was asked to leave Sam's Club, for example, he left. They didn't want him there saying those things. He wasn't arrested, Your Honor. He didn't have a firearm. He wasn't pulling knives on people. You didn't hear any evidence at all about him pulling, you know, weapons, hurting people, you know, or shooting at people, threatening to shoot people.

You saw all of the things in his house.

That may be of concern to the Court, having swastikas, having those types of things may well give Your Honor concern, but what did you also hear from the agent? She couldn't tell you where they came from. For all we know, they're in a den in a collector's bin. They are in a room. They are --

THE COURT: I was trying to understand

your argument about that. What difference does it make that they have been in a bin or in a closet? The fact that, I think, the Government is trying to point out is, that they were in his home.

MS. COSTNER: I understand that, Your
Honor, and you are allowed by law to collect
all kinds of things. Again, I'm not arguing
the moral high ground of collecting items like
that. What I'm saying, Your Honor, is that if
he collects them, and he keeps them in his
home -- and I asked the agent, did you see him
wearing these things? Is he displaying these
things? Is he -- you know, I mean, all of
these items that they found, is he wearing a
Secret Service badge? Is he wearing a police
badge that you found in there? Is he -- you
know, is he threatening people with these
items? And, they are in his home.

I would just pose the question, how does that support an argument that he is guilty or that they have probable cause to meet the definition of these two statutes? You don't hear from J.S. or from the neighbor that he somehow put on a badge and ran out there or

that he hit somebody with one of these iron cross rings that they are making a big deal about. All of these items are, again, in his home. They are not outside.

So, Your Honor, I think I just would contend when you look at the statutes themselves, and I just reiterate that the big problem that they have and I would contend that it should - the Court should not find probable cause. They cannot tie the behavior to the next card, because J.S. was on the road, because the Duartes live in the house, and they have to make that causal connection for them to go forward with this case, and I would just ask Your Honor to find no probable cause for both of those charges.

THE COURT: You may proceed.

MS. COSTNER: Your Honor, with respect to detention, I sort of mentioned a lot of what the Court has already heard, but the recommendations are pretty stringent. The recommendations from probation are for home incarceration. He would not be permitted to leave the house except for medical emergencies, except to meet with his probation officer,

except to go to court. He would be able to come and meet with me.

Your Honor, even though there is some potential issues at least expressed in the bail report, you have been able to hear from his daughter, and that she is educated. She's done well in school. She's worked.

THE COURT: Would you admit that she is vindicated through her testimony and by the call to the agent? Can I suppose most of the people who come through here who are family members and girlfriend, boyfriend, mother, aunt, whatever -- the daughter, that she allegedly made to the officer and the statement that she finds no -- you know, finds no fault in her father?

MS. COSTNER: Well, daughters love their dads and I will say that, Your Honor --

THE COURT: I have two daughters, two grown daughters myself, and they probably may not find any fault in me, even though I know that I have faults like every human being.

MS. COSTNER: Yes.

THE COURT: So that's the part that bothers me a little bit is, she didn't see that

he's done anything even morally wrong.

MS. COSTNER: I have been doing this a very long time, Your Honor, and it is my experience, that many people that are out on pretrial release who have third-party custodians in mothers, girlfriends, wives, not often daughters but, you know, but sometimes family is family, and they are going to support their family through thick and thin, until otherwise. But that is one bucket.

The other bucket is this, Your Honor.

What is her obligation as a third-party
custodian and living in that home and she is
aware of the consequences of not acting and
taking on the duties of a third-party
custodian, and she understands what her father
is going to be ordered to do to remain in the
house 24/7, to wear an ankle monitor and keep
it charged and abide by all of those
requirements, to get rid of the internet, to
get rid of Facebook or all of the -- you know,
all the social media. And in that case, it is
going to have to go for her, too, because you
can't have Wi-Fi in the house that only one
person can access.

I'm assuming, you know, of course I haven't met with probation, but I have sat down with her, Your Honor, and I very carefully explained to her every single duty, every obligation that Mr. Hudak would have and the ramifications of that requirement of no internet, no social media. She understands that. And for a 21-year-old, that's a tough one, but she is willing to do every bit of that, and she understands that he is going to be monitored, that people are going to be -- you know, the probation office will be in her home monitoring this.

I told her that most likely, law enforcement are going to be monitoring, to see if he is out there posting on Facebook or what is being said. It won't be just probation. She knows that as well, and Mr. Hudak knows that, even more importantly.

She is willing to support her father in this. Mr. Hudak is willing to abide by every condition. And, Your Honor, should there be a violation, I don't believe there would be, but there are consequences. I mean, there would be other opportunity, and I've made that very

clear to both of them that any misstep is going to result in him being placed in custody in jail, and they are both willing.

So regardless of maybe her personal feelings about her father and whether or not she believes he's done anything wrong, she fully accepts the requirements that have been laid out, and the recommendations that have been laid out. She has no hesitation.

You heard her testify about all of that,
Your Honor. When I met with her, there was no
argument about that. It was, I will do those
things. I am willing to report him if he
doesn't, but I believe my dad will do those
things.

I've talked with Mr. Hudak. He's committed to following everything that the Court orders, and his home is going to be open to inspection. And so I would just -- Your Honor, in terms of -- I'm not going to go over all of the things about what I had to say sort of woven into the preliminary --

THE COURT: When is he due in District Court?

MS. COSTNER: In District Court now?

1	THE COURT: When is
2	MS. COSTNER: I mean, so this is are
3	you talking about the complaint? You mean with
4	his state charges? I'm not sure I don't
5	understand the question.
6	THE COURT: At some point he's going if
7	I were to find probable cause, he's going to be
8	before a District Judge at some point, right?
9	MS. COSTNER: Yes, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: That's what I am asking, when
11	is that?
12	MS. COSTNER: The Government would have to
13	indict.
14	MS. McFADDEN: We'll be presenting an
15	indictment to the grand jury and his
16	arraignment would be calendared as soon as any
17	return was made. So there is no date just yet.
18	I will note for the Court, that the grand
19	jury is convening this week.
20	THE COURT: Thank you.
21	MS. COSTNER: So, Your Honor, I think I
22	was much more able to present a timetable. I
23	have given him sort of a forecast of the
24	timetable because I didn't know when the
25	Government was going to the grand jury.

Me understands this would be for several months, you know, potentially. So does his daughter. They both understand that, and they are absolutely willing to follow the Court's -- if the Court is willing to give him pretrial release, every condition. If the Court wants to come up with other conditions that Your Honor feels would be appropriate here, then they will follow those conditions, and I feel they have insured me that they will comply and will not be back before this Court on any type of a violation.

So, Your Honor, I ask the Court
to -- you've heard the evidence. You've heard
my cross-examination, what I had to say about
the sufficiency, and I am not going to repeat a
lot of that for the Court, but I believe I
would say that a lot of that also bears on,
again, the strength --

THE COURT: You are not finding any cases that interpret these statutes that you could have handed up to the Court?

MS. COSTNER: Your Honor, this case -- I
got it Thursday and, unfortunately, Your Honor,
was -- this hearing came very quickly. I was

not able to do the research. I found one case that it really wasn't on point and so I have not really found -- but I have not had the opportunity to do the research, just due to -- and I'm not a dodging my homework kind of person, but I had travel plans and was not able to do the research that I would need.

I'm happy to do that and submit to the Court with a memorandum. If the Court wanted that, I'm happy to do that.

THE COURT: I think I would. You've raised points about the statute. This is certainly the first case of -- or first impression with this Judge, and it sounds like you haven't had a dozen yourself, so.

MS. COSTNER: This will be a first.

THE COURT: I don't know about the Government, but, I mean, it would be helpful to me to, you know, see something before making a decision.

MS. COSTNER: Well, I would be happy, if the Court would give me a day or two, I would be happy to brief something and send it in.

THE COURT: Let me ask probation, you've made a recommendation to release him, and I see

all of the conditions. Is it in part because of his -- I'm not going to say, no record. I mean, he certainly has some record here, but it is not -- you know, he has not been convicted of a lot of felonies like we often see and so forth.

THE PROBATION OFFICER: Your Honor, that's one factor, the number of felony convictions, or lack of felony convictions is one of many different factors that go into that decision.

I can't point to one specific thing that pushed the recommendation one way or the other, but that was one factor, yes.

THE COURT: Are you finished, Counsel?

MS. COSTNER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I'll hear from Ms. McFadden.

MS. McFADDEN: Thank you, Your Honor.

First and foremost, in terms of my preparation today, I'm a little bit caught and surprised that probable cause is not being conceded on the "but for" language, because I am not sure the evidence could be stronger of the but for language as to between Mr. Hudak's actions and the victims that he selected.

The incident with J.S., was not a road rage incident. There was -- the instigating event was that J.S. was black and he was driving his car on the same road as Mr. Hudak, and he made that abundantly clear when he got out of his car and knocked on his window and called him the N word and called him boy, and in terms of interference with his right to the roadways, I mean, the Court asked a great question, what is an example, if not something like this.

I mean, if you go back to, for instance, if (indiscernible) is going to school people could call her the N word and call her derogatory slurs and they can yell and scream at her, but unless they roadblock the school, that's not interfering with her constitutional right to attend school?

I mean, the argument that there is no nexus between the racial animus and the actions here is to completely ignore the history of this country and the Civil Rights Act under which these statutes were passed by Congress to address these particular types of problems.

The incident with Mr. Duarte, if it had

nothing to do with the fact that Mr. Duarte appears Mexican, Mr. Hudak didn't even know if he is or not, despite his assertions that he's illegal. Despite his daughter's assertions that he's illegal, that's followed up with "Idiots from Mexico in my neighborhood.

Illegal immigrants. Mexican idiot asking for trouble every single day. Mexican cartel in Concord, North Carolina, hate American people. Shame on you. Dirty money for walking free, because Mexican cartel in my neighborhood lied about me in court." He could not make it anymore clear the reason that this is happening.

And, while it is almost impossible to prove a negative, the Court hasn't heard any evidence of the fact that he's also running white drivers off the road and following them to their apartment complexes.

There was a comment, then perhaps there was -- not clear whether or not J.S. pointed a gun. There is no evidence that J.S. pointed the gun. There is evidence that he reached for it, and if he did point a gun, why on the earth would Mr. Hudak then follow him to his

apartment complex and block the road and continue to yell at him and threaten him there? It makes no sense.

The real common denominator between all of these events is Mr. Hudack's involvement in them, and the fact that people that are targeted are of a minority, and that's what these statutes are meant to address.

I'm happy to brief this for Your Honor, but I will say for the record, that these types of statutes cannot be charged by our office without approval from Washington, specifically, with the civil rights section. They have to be certified by the Associated Attorney General, that's Kristen Clark, and they have a whole team of lawyers whose job it is just to research cases on these very issues, these very legal issues.

So these charges aren't brought lightly and they weren't brought lightly, and they -- in light of the fact that the evidence here is compelling that there is probable cause, so I would ask the Court to make that finding.

And to the extent that the Court wanted

any additional briefing, I would ask the Court to stay such an order until the grand jury has had an opportunity this week to review these charges, because if they do return an indictment, then the issue of probable cause is muted at that point in time, because they have found it.

In terms of detention, I respectfully disagree with probation's recommendation. I do not think there are any conditions that can safely assure the safety of the community or prevent flight.

I just want to walk the Court through the time line that we see reflected both in the evidence that Agent Franks testified to and the evidence that is in the pretrial services report.

So on March 29th, 2021, there is a misdemeanor conviction for DWI and carrying a concealed gun after consuming alcohol. That's a danger to the community.

On November 27th, 2021, there is the assault on Justin Duarte. This is while he was serving a term of probation related to the DUI.

That same month, November 10th, 2021, he

has charges of illegal use of a red or blue light and charges of impersonating a law enforcement officer, which was dismissed. The other, he was convicted on.

On June 5th, 2022, he's arrested for misdemeanor carrying concealed weapon. That's pending.

On July 2nd, 2022, after being arrested on a different charge of injury to property, he proceeds to talk to the arresting officer about black people and Mexican people, oh, my God, you know, the two very types of minorities that were targeted and two charges in the criminal complaint.

July 21st, 2022, that's the Sam's Club incident. Again, does he have a First Amendment right to say these terrible things? Absolutely. But can the Court consider it as evidence of bias and probable cause, absolutely.

October 13th, 2022, this is the assault on J.S. He was arrested related to this.
Released on a promise to appear.

November 16th, 2022, convicted for injury to personal property and simple assault.

THE COURT: What was the 10/13/22, was that -- I see that was voluntarily dismissed on January 31st, 2023.

MS. McFADDEN: Your Honor, both J.S. and Mr. Duarte did press charges through magistrate warrants against Mr. Hudak. I would submit to the Court that these are cases that the police department ought to have arrested and brought charges and the DA's office should have addressed. They were put in the posture of essentially having to prosecute.

There are no -- they are victims of hate crimes. This is why this statute is so important federally. But my understanding is, that the reason why that was dismissed was because J.S. did not appear at one of the hearings.

Going back to the time line here, on December 19th, 2022, he is violated for having the fixed blades and not providing truthful information to his probation officer.

On December 30th, 2022, there is an arrest for misdemeanor disorderly conduct. He's convicted for that in March of this year.

Then we get to January 2023, where we have

1 the postings that the Court saw. 2 publically filed posts, Exhibit 5, "Idiots from 3 Mexico." 4 Exhibit 6, "Mexican cartel in Concord, North Carolina." 5 6 Exhibit 7, "I remember this because 7 Mexican cartel in my neighborhood lied about me in court." 8 9 And between those two posts in six and 10 seven, is a charge January 18th, 2023, for misdemeanor criminal contempt. 11 12 Moving forward to February, 2023, he's 13 charged with reckless driving to endanger. 14 That's pending. 15 On February 10th, 2023, we have the recorded videos of the Duartes posted to 16 Facebook. "She is a Mexican." 17 18 Then we have the no contact orders and the 19 contempt that he was found in by the Court 20 related to violating the no contact order. 21 Then we have Exhibits 10 and 11, the posts 22 of the lone wolf memes. Perhaps there is a 23 plausible explanation for loving animals, but 24 when you look at these memes, coupled with 25 Mr. Hudak's violent behavior, his possession of

weapons, there is no way to ignore those and there is no way to ignore a meme about killing someone who threatens what he loves.

May 24th, 2023, he is convicted of misdemeanor stalking. And finally, we have June 22nd, which was the date of this search.

Again, it is not illegal to own these items, but it is absolutely laughable to suggest that he owned this Nazi flag, this KKK flag, this iron cross ring, that horrible pamphlet with the racial twerps because he is a collector of items. Okay.

This is a smoking gun of evidence of racial animus and it is the same racial animus that fueled his attacks on the people that are identified in the complaint.

So I understand this is the Government's burden. Section 3142 asks the Court to consider a number of factors in deciding whether or not there are safe conditions.

Again, I submit that there is not.

When you look at the nature and circumstances of the offense, this is not a case about free speech. It is not a case about collectables. It is a case about terrorizing

minorities. It is legal to own Nazi paraphernalia. It is not legal to terrorize people driving on the street. It is not legal to terrorize people who live next to you because you don't like the color of their skin or where you think they are from. It is not legal to attack them for those reasons.

There is nothing more serious than targeting individuals for violence because of these factors. And this is not to mention that the assault on J.S. involved the threatened use of a firearm.

Now, we understand that there are some facts about that that we may not know. There was a limited sweep of the car. They didn't find a firearm. They didn't do a very thorough search in the moment. It could have been there. Three different witnesses saw that and one of them was K.E., the woman who was completely uninvolved, and not only did she see it, she contemporaneously reported it in the 911 call.

But even if there wasn't one, there was the threatened use of one, and that is sufficient in terms of danger to the community.

In terms of the strength of the evidence, again, I would submit it is strong. The video evidence, both the ring camera at the Duarte's home and the surveillance at the apartment complex support the victims' accounts of what occurred.

In terms of racial animus, I mean, it is on his truck. It is on his Facebook. It is in his home. It is in the comments he makes to police officers on a recorded body-worn camera during these many arrests, and I would submit to the Court that there is case law mostly rising out of the Second Circuit, that indicates that significant evidence of guilt is something the Court should factor in when it is making a detention determination, especially, when the penalties faced are severe.

Here, it is a ten year statutory maximum for each one of these counts. This is not like anything that Mr. Hudak has experienced in state court.

There is cases out of the Second Circuit, which is United States versus Williams, where the Court noted that even if a ten year penalty alone on a 922(g) was sufficient in terms of

the strength of the evidence to weigh in favor of detention because of the potential sentence that was being faced on the significant evidence.

I submit that the history and the characteristics weigh in favor of detention both with regards to safety of the community and the risk of flight.

The defendant has dual citizenship. He has ties to another country in terms of flight.

In terms of danger to the community, he's been arrested or convicted of repeated charges over the past three years. He's had multiple probation violations. He's had contempt orders for violating no contact orders. He attacked Mr. Duarte when he was on probation already.

Again, held in contempt for the no contact order with Mrs. Duarte. He also has a prior conviction for carrying a concealed weapon, and drinking alcohol as well as DWI.

Finally, in terms of the nature and seriousness, the danger to any person or community upon his release, I think it is his conduct over the past few years speaks for itself. He is a danger to the community

because Mr. Smith -- or excuse me, J.S., there is no evidence he had ever seen him before. There was no evidence that there was any instigating event. J.S. was just a person driving on the road who happened to be a black man, and then it ended with him being chased to his apartment building and having to call his girlfriend because he was so afraid, to get his firearm. That's what these people are reduced to. The same thing for Mr. Duarte.

I would also contend he is a specific danger to the witnesses in this particular case, because he has violated the no contact order, and that any conditions of release would place him next door to the same people. And this isn't an issue of a civil contempt case in state court.

Here, these people are witnesses in the case that involves significant real penalties, and that places them, I think, in additional danger.

So nothing in the behavior indicates that he's not going to violate orders of the Court because he's already violated orders of the court in the past. This is the first time in

his years of menacing his community that he is facing actual serious consequences, and he's told us how he will react in such a situation. That's Exhibit 11. He said, "Don't threaten what I love, unless you're prepared to meet your end."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, appreciate his daughter's willingness to serve as third-party custodian. I think this Court knows in the time that I've appeared here, that I always am respectful and appreciative of people who want to support their family members, but the Court has already identified what I view to be a significant issue with her serving in that capacity, which is that she appears to have a view of her father that does not align with the evidence, and she appears to be aggrieved on his behalf to such extent that she called one of the agents to accuse the agent of believing lies and telling the agent she, "believed the illegals."

And I will say in my ten years in practicing in this District, I've never had a proposed third-party custodian call one of the law enforcement officers and make those types

of accusations. I have real concerns about her ability to be unbiased and to report to this Court any violations by her father, and that's not even including the fact that she is a young woman, and she is completely financially dependent on him at this point in time.

So while I obviously respect her
willingness to serve, I don't think that the
conditions posed by probation, even with
Ms. Hudak as a third-party custodian, are
sufficient to insure the safety of the
community or to remove the risk of flight.

For those reasons, I would ask that this
Court detain him pending further proceedings.
And should this Court decide that release is
more appropriate, I would ask the Court to stay
that order pending an appeal to the District
Court.

THE COURT: All right. Let me ask both sides to not send me a brief, but send me a couple of cases with further research and find out how these statutes have been interpreted.

Both of you may know the Fourth Circuit conference is being held in Greensboro beginning on Wednesday, and I plan to be

```
present for that, but if you'll send me those
 1
 2
         cases, I can multitask and go to meetings and
 3
         decide certainly no later than Friday what I
 4
         plan to do in this case.
 5
              MS. COSTNER: Yes, Your Honor.
 6
              THE COURT: Thank you everybody.
 7
              (This matter was concluded.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE I, J. ALLEN, RPR, United States District Court Reporter for the Middle District of North Carolina, DO HEREBY CERTIFY: That the foregoing is a transcript of the proceedings had in the above-entitled matter, WHICH WA\$ TAKEN FROM AN AUDIO RECORDING, AND TRANSCRIBED TO THE BEST OF MY ABILITY. July 19, 2023 J. Allen, RPR United States Court Reporter 324 W. Market Street Greensboro, NC 27401