

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
IN NASHVILLE**

<p>ERIC CHURCH,</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Plaintiff,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>VARIOUS JOHN DOES, VARIOUS JANE DOES, and VARIOUS XYZ CORPORATIONS,</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Defendants.</p>	<p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p>	<p>Case No. _____</p> <p>JUDGE _____</p> <p>Magistrate Judge _____</p>
--	---	---

VERIFIED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff files this Verified Complaint against Various John Does, Various Jane Does, and Various XYZ Corporations (collectively “Defendants”), for trademark infringement and trademark dilution, in violation of the Trademark (Lanham) Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1051 *et seq.*, common law unfair competition, and violation of the Tennessee Personal Rights Protection Act of 1984, Tenn. Code Ann. § 47-25-1101 *et seq.*, and for his causes of action states as follows:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Eric Church (“Plaintiff”) resides in Davidson County, Tennessee.
2. Defendants, who are identified by fictitious names because their true names, capacities and addresses are not yet known to Plaintiff, are individuals and entities who are, in some manner, responsible or legally liable for the events giving rise to Plaintiff’s claims. Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to assert the Defendants’ true names, capacities and addresses when Plaintiff is able to identify them.

JURISDICTION

3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a) and 15 U.S.C. § 1121(a), as a civil action arising under the trademark laws of the United States; pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1338(b), as a civil action asserting a claim of unfair competition joined with a substantial and related claim under the trademark laws of the United States; and under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) and the doctrine of supplemental jurisdiction.

4. Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in the State of Tennessee, as Defendants have engaged in acts or omissions outside of Tennessee causing injury within Tennessee, and Defendants have been present in Tennessee and about this judicial district in connection with the claims asserted in this action.

VENUE

5. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims have occurred and will occur in this judicial district, Defendants have caused and will continue to cause injury to Plaintiff in this judicial district, and Defendants are to be subject to the jurisdiction of this Court.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

6. Eric Church is an internationally-recognized recording and performing musical artist. In 2006, Church signed a recording contract with Capitol Records. On July 8, 2006, Plaintiff released his debut album *Sinners Like Me*, which produced four singles on the *Billboard* country chart. On March 24, 2009, Plaintiff released his second album, *Carolina*, which was certified by the RIAA as gold with sales in excess of 500,000 copies and two top 10 singles. Plaintiff's 2011 album *Chief* debuted at number one on both the Top Country Albums and the *Billboard* 200 with sales in excess of 145,000 copies in the first week. *Chief* was nominated for

the 2012 Grammy Awards for Best Country Album and produced the number one single “Drink in My Hand.” On February 11, 2014, Plaintiff released his fourth studio album titled *The Outsiders*, which was nominated for Album of the Year at the 2014 Country Music Awards and for Favorite Country Album at the 2014 American Music Awards. Plaintiff’s single “Give Me Back My Hometown,” released in January 2014, reached number one on the Country Airplay chart, becoming his fourth overall, and was nominated for Song of the Year and Single of the Year at the 2014 Country Music Awards.

7. Plaintiff is also a highly-recognized and popular touring artist. Plaintiff has been performing live since approximately 1995. After signing with Capitol Records in 2006, Church has toured regularly in support of the albums released by Capitol. In November of 2011, Plaintiff announced his first concert tour as a headliner titled, “Blood, Sweat & Beers,” which was followed by his “No Shoes Nation” tour in 2013. In April of 2014, Church announced “The Outsiders World Tour,” which consists of 94 concert dates across 85 cities in 50 states and two countries.

8. The Outsiders World Tour began on September 11, 2014 in Bossier City, Louisiana. The performances have drawn capacity audiences at most venues played thus far.

9. The Outsiders World Tour is expected to draw an audience of approximately 500,000 attendees.

10. In addition to The Outsiders World Tour, Plaintiff will perform live during 2014 at certain fairs and festivals. A complete current schedule of the Plaintiff’s performances during 2014-2015 (“Plaintiff’s 2014-2015 Tour”) is attached to this Complaint as **Exhibit A**.

11. In conjunction with his live performances to date during 2014-2015, as well as during his prior tours, Plaintiff has sold, through authorized vendors, merchandise bearing his trademarks, name and likeness.

12. Plaintiff has advertised and sold such merchandise for more than 9 years.

13. Plaintiff's genuine and authorized merchandise prominently displays the trademark, ERIC CHURCH in word form, and/or the trademark CHIEF ("Plaintiff's Trademarks"), and/or Plaintiff's photograph, image or likeness (collectively "ERIC CHURCH Merchandise").

14. Plaintiff has sold and will sell Eric Church Merchandise at the concert venues during Plaintiff's 2014-2015 performances.

15. Plaintiff's merchandise includes hats, bandanas, koozies, dog tags, sun glasses, key chains, posters, and books and most prominently, t-shirts. Digital photographs of select examples of Eric Church Merchandise are attached to this Complaint as **Exhibit B**.

16. ERIC CHURCH is Plaintiff's personal name, and Plaintiff is also the owner of the common law trademark for the mark ERIC CHURCH, in connection with: (a) series of musical sound recordings; pre-recorded audio cassettes, compact discs, and DVD's and video tapes featuring performances by an individual; (b) clothing, namely, shirts, T-shirts, sweatshirts, jerseys, hats and caps; and (c) entertainment services in the nature of the rendition of live musical performances by an individual. True and correct copies of materials demonstrating this use are attached to this Complaint as **Exhibit C**.

17. Plaintiff first made use of the mark ERIC CHURCH in connection with his live performances in 1995 and has used his mark, name and likeness continuously since that time.

18. Plaintiff also uses the mark ERIC CHURCH and his likeness to sell merchandise and recorded product through his website, www.ericchurch.com. Screen shots of Plaintiff's website are attached as **Exhibit D**.

19. Through Plaintiff's continuous and extensive use of the mark ERIC CHURCH, this mark has become highly distinctive and famous, identifying Plaintiff to the public as the genuine source of Plaintiff's goods and services.

20. These goods – ERIC CHURCH Merchandise – are of the highest quality and grade, and are manufactured according to strict quality and aesthetic standards.

21. Plaintiff's licensees are also required to manufacture ERIC CHURCH Merchandise consistent with these standards and are required to obtain prior approval of all licensed products.

22. Plaintiff has undertaken and continues to undertake diligent efforts to prevent, and actively pursue, sale of unauthorized merchandise marked with imitations of Plaintiff's Trademarks ("Infringing Goods").

23. Plaintiff's representatives have identified Infringing Goods near the venues where Plaintiff will perform during his 2014-2015 Tour.

24. At Plaintiff's past live concert performances at various concert tour locations throughout the United States and, most recently, Plaintiff's performances during Plaintiff's 2014-2015 Tour, Plaintiff's representatives have encountered numerous individuals selling Infringing Goods.

25. Plaintiff alleges on information and belief that Defendants have and will continue to manufacture, advertise, distribute, and sell Infringing Goods bearing any or all of the

trademarks owned by Plaintiff in the vicinity of Plaintiff's 2014-2015 performances, before, during and after Plaintiff's performance, and at subsequent concerts during the 2014-2015 Tour.

26. The Infringing Goods, while inferior in quality, are of the same general appearance as ERIC CHURCH Merchandise and are likely to cause confusion among prospective purchasers.

27. Plaintiff has not authorized any Defendants to manufacture, distribute, advertise or sell any Infringing Goods.

28. Based upon Plaintiff's past experience, the design, materials and quality of most Infringing Goods sold by Defendants are of inferior quality, fail to comply with the quality and style standards established by Plaintiff for ERIC CHURCH Merchandise, and are lower in price than authentic ERIC CHURCH Merchandise. Photographs of samples of the infringing goods are attached as **Exhibit E**.

29. Further, Infringing Goods are largely uniform from concert to concert and city to city, as many Defendants sell the same Infringing Goods in different cities, traveling from one concert venue to the next. During Plaintiff's past live performances, Plaintiff's representatives have often observed the same people selling Infringing Goods at different concert locations.

30. Defendants' use of Plaintiff's Trademarks, name and likeness causes confusion among consumers and deceives consumers into believing that the Infringing Goods are associated with, or sponsored or endorsed by Plaintiff. The consumers are also deceived into believing that they are purchasing genuine or authorized ERIC CHURCH Merchandise.

31. In addition, Infringing Goods often include or feature Plaintiff's image, photographs, name and likeness.

32. Plaintiff believes and avers that Defendants will continue to manufacture, distribute, advertise and sell Infringing Goods in connection with Plaintiff's 2014-2015 Tour throughout the United States.

33. In addition to causing Plaintiff irrecoverable and innumerable lost sales, Defendants' actions will irreparably injure Plaintiff's reputation for high-quality products, and will cause dilution of the ERIC CHURCH trademark by blurring and by tarnishment.

34. Law enforcement officers at concert venues are primarily dedicated to on-site safety and security and typically do not have priority or time to deal with Infringing Goods.

35. When Defendants are approached by Plaintiff's representatives, they either quickly hide or discard Infringing Goods and walk or run away. They also ignore requests for identification and to leave the concert premises. Notwithstanding Plaintiff's efforts, Defendants continue to sell Infringing Goods at concert venues.

36. Plaintiff has determined there are more sellers of Infringing Goods at the concert locations than Plaintiff can address absent an Injunction and an Order authorizing the law enforcement officers and/or persons acting under their supervision to seize and impound Infringing Goods, because in the absence of such an order, Defendants will not voluntarily turn over Infringing Goods and will not cease the distribution and sale of Infringing Goods. Further, because Defendants will not identify themselves, Defendants will be able to avoid responding to an ordinary civil lawsuit and, in this way, will be essentially immune from an injunction and monetary liability.

37. For these reasons, the only effective means of protecting Plaintiff's Trademarks from Defendants' infringement and dilution during Plaintiff's 2014-2015 Tour is by authority to seize Infringing Goods, through the *ex parte* seizure process.

38. Without the aid of a federal court order authorizing seizure of Infringing Goods at and near Plaintiff's 2014-2015 Tour concert locations, Plaintiff a) will lose innumerable and irrecoverable sums in merchandise sales, b) will suffer incalculable, irreparable damage to his reputation and goodwill, c) will be unable to combat the network of individuals distributing and selling Infringing Goods in connection with Plaintiff's 2014-2015 Tour, and d) will be unable to protect his rights and the rights of the consuming public against the distribution and sale of Infringing Goods at Plaintiff's 2014-2015 Tour concerts, in addition to the harm such infringement will cause to the consuming public by deceiving the public into believing that Infringing Goods are the legitimate, high-quality ERIC CHURCH Merchandise.

39. Upon information and belief, Defendants have and will continue to engage in the manufacture, distribution, marketing and sale of Infringing Goods, in this State and elsewhere in interstate commerce, and will continue these activities during Plaintiff's 2014-2015 Tour.

COUNT I

INFRINGEMENT OF UNREGISTERED TRADEMARK **(15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))**

40. Defendants' use of copies or simulations of Plaintiff's Trademarks, or any confusingly-similar marks, words and designs, on Infringing Goods constitutes infringement of Plaintiff's unregistered trademarks in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).

41. Defendants' conduct is malicious, fraudulent, deliberate, willful, intentional and in disregard of Plaintiff's rights.

42. Defendants' conduct will injure Plaintiff and, unless restrained and enjoined, will continue to do so, all to Plaintiff's irreparable harm and monetary damage.

43. Plaintiff is entitled to an injunction enjoining Defendants from any further use in commerce of Plaintiff's Trademarks, an award of all Defendants' profits, damages sustained by

Plaintiff, the costs of this action, Plaintiff's reasonable attorney's fees, and three times Plaintiff's actual damages, and to an order for destruction of Infringing Goods, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1116(a), 1117(a) and 1118.

COUNT II

TRADEMARK DILUTION **(15 U.S.C. § 1125(c))**

44. Defendants' use of copies or simulations of the mark ERIC CHURCH, or any confusingly-similar marks, words and designs, on Infringing Goods constitutes dilution by blurring and tarnishment that impairs the distinctiveness and harms the reputation of this famous mark in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c).

45. Defendants' conduct is malicious, fraudulent, deliberate, willful, intentional and in disregard of Plaintiff's rights.

46. Defendants' conduct will injure Plaintiff and, unless restrained and enjoined, will continue to do so, all to Plaintiff's irreparable harm and monetary damage.

47. Plaintiff is entitled to an injunction enjoining Defendants from any further acts of dilution of the mark ERIC CHURCH, an award of all Defendants' profits, damages sustained by Plaintiff, the costs of this action, Plaintiff's reasonable attorney's fees, and three times Plaintiff's actual damages, and to an order for destruction of Infringing Goods, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1116(a), 1117(a), 1118 and 1125(c)(1).

COUNT III

COMMON LAW UNFAIR COMPETITION

48. Defendants have prominently used Plaintiff's Trademarks in connection with their unlawful efforts to sell competing products as legitimate goods of Plaintiff. Defendants' use of Plaintiff's Trademarks for competing goods is likely to confuse the public as to the origin,

source, sponsorship or quality of goods offered for sale by Defendants and is likely to mislead persons into believing that Defendants' businesses and goods have been sponsored, approved or licensed by Plaintiff or are in some way affiliated or connected with Plaintiff.

49. Defendants' use of Plaintiff's Trademarks is with full knowledge of the substantial reputation and goodwill associated with Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Trademarks, and with full knowledge that Defendants have no rights to such marks. By appropriating the goodwill of Plaintiff's widely known and respected trademarks, Defendants have unjustly enriched themselves and damaged Plaintiff.

50. Defendants' conduct is in willful disregard of Plaintiff's rights.

51. Defendants' conduct will injure Plaintiff and, unless restrained and enjoined, will continue to do so, all to Plaintiff's irreparable harm and monetary damage.

52. Plaintiff is entitled to an injunction enjoining Defendants from any further acts of Defendants' use of Plaintiff's Trademarks, an award of all Defendants' profits, damages sustained by Plaintiff, the costs of this action, and Plaintiff's reasonable attorney's fees.

COUNT IV

VIOLATION OF TENNESSEE PERSONAL RIGHTS PROTECTION ACT OF 1984 (TENN. CODE ANN. § 47-25-1101 ET SEQ.)

53. Defendants have knowingly used and infringed upon the use of Plaintiff's name, photograph and likeness, as items of commerce for purposes of advertising products, merchandise, and goods. Defendants have engaged in this conduct without Plaintiff's consent or authorization.

54. Defendants' actions and omissions violate the Tennessee Personal Rights Protection Act of 1984, Tenn. Code Ann. § 47-25-1101 *et seq.*, including, without limitation, Tenn. Code Ann. § 47-25-1105.

55. Plaintiff has been damaged by Defendants' violations of the Tennessee Personal Rights Protection Act.

56. Plaintiff is entitled to an injunction preventing and restraining Defendants' unauthorized use of Plaintiff's name, photograph or likeness, an order impounding all materials made or used in violation of Plaintiff's rights, and enjoining the use of all plates, molds, matrices or other articles by means of which such materials may be reproduced, and to an order of the destruction or other reasonable disposition of all such materials.

57. Defendants' use of Plaintiff's name, photograph or likeness is a willful or knowing violation of the Tennessee Personal Rights Protection Act, entitling Plaintiff to an award of his actual damages and any profits attributable to Defendants' infringement on his personal rights, together with all other remedies afforded by the Act and, pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 47-25-1106(a), in addition to any other damages awarded by this Court.

JURY DEMAND

58. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff requests the following relief:

1. A judgment against Defendants for compensatory and punitive damages;
2. A judgment against Defendants for three times Plaintiff's actual damages under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a);
3. The entry of a temporary restraining order, and preliminary and permanent injunctions, prohibiting Defendants, their agents, employees, officers, attorneys, successors and assigns and all persons acting on their behalf from: (a) any further use of Plaintiff's Trademarks, name, image or likeness; (b) manufacturing, distributing, marketing or selling any Infringing

Goods, or any ERIC CHURCH MERCHANDISE bearing, without authorization, Plaintiff's Trademarks or any confusingly-similar marks, words, names or designs, or any photograph, image or likeness of Plaintiff; and (c) representing that any Infringing Goods are sponsored, endorsed or authorized by Plaintiff

4. Order that all Infringing Goods, or any other merchandise or products bearing without authorization Plaintiff's Trademarks, any confusingly-similar marks, or Plaintiff's name, photograph, image or likeness, that is found in the possession, custody or control of Defendants within a ten-mile vicinity of all concert venues at which Plaintiff will be performing during Plaintiff's 2014-2015 Tour, during and within twenty-four hours of the performance, be seized until a hearing can be held before this Court to determine the disposition of any goods so seized;


5. Order that any and all means of making Infringing Goods and any and all records documenting the manufacture, sale, or receipt of things involved in the sale, offering for sale, or distribution of Infringing Goods, be seized until a hearing can be held before this Court to determine the disposition of any goods so seized;

6. Order that (a) all Infringing Goods, or any other merchandise or products bearing, without authorization, Plaintiff's Trademarks or any confusingly-similar marks, or Plaintiff's name, photograph, image or likeness, and all plates, molds, matrices, and other means or articles of making or reproducing the same, found in the possession, custody, or control of Defendants, and (b) any goods seized pursuant to this Court's order, be delivered to Plaintiff, or Plaintiff's attorneys, pending the outcome of this action;

7. Order that all goods seized pursuant to this Court's orders be destroyed;

8. Award Plaintiff his attorneys' fees, costs and expenses under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a);

9. Pre-judgment interest; and
10. Such other and further general, injunctive and equitable relief as may be appropriate under the circumstances.



John R. Jacobson, #14365

Chris Vlahos, #20318

Riley Warnock & Jacobson, PLC

1906 West End Avenue

Nashville, TN 37203

(615) 320-3700

jjacobson@rwjplc.com

cvlahos@rwjplc.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Eric Church

VERIFICATION

STATE OF Tenn.)

COUNTY OF Davidson)

I state that the facts asserted in this Verified Complaint are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Fielding Logan

Fielding Logan o/b/o Eric Church and Ericho, Inc.

Sworn to and subscribed before me on this the 10th day of February, 2015.

Meredith Malloy
NOTARY PUBLIC

